

**21st International Conference on  
Harmonisation within Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling for Regulatory Purposes  
27-30 September 2022, Aveiro, Portugal**

---

**THE INTERNATIONAL HANDBOOK ON THE ASSESSMENT OF ODOUR EXPOSURE BY  
USING DISPERSION MODELLING**

*Jennifer Barclay<sup>1</sup>, Carlos Diaz<sup>2</sup>, Günther Schaubberger<sup>3</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>ASG Atmospheric Science Globa, Pty Ltd, Auckland, New Zealand

<sup>2</sup>Olores.org, Spain

<sup>3</sup>WG Environmental Health, Unit for Physiology and Biophysics, University of Veterinary Medicine,  
Vienna, Austria

Names and Affiliations of TG Leaders and Co-authors: *Imelda Shanaha<sup>4</sup>, Anne-Claude Romain<sup>5</sup>, Gianni Tinarelli<sup>6</sup>, Roberto Bellasio<sup>7</sup>, Rodrigo Rosales<sup>8</sup>, Silvia Trini Castelli<sup>9</sup>, Giuseppe Brusasca<sup>10</sup>, Loren Tick<sup>11</sup>, Jean-Michel Guillot<sup>12</sup>, Andrew Balch<sup>13</sup>, Heike Hauschildt<sup>14</sup>, Giusy Oliva<sup>15</sup>, Tiziano Zarra<sup>15</sup>, Christelle Escoffier<sup>16</sup>*

<sup>4</sup>TMS Environment Ltd, Dublin, Ireland; <sup>5</sup>University of Liege, Arlon, Belgium; <sup>6</sup>Università degli Studi di Salerno, Salerno, Italy; <sup>7</sup>Enviroware srl, Italy; <sup>8</sup>Environmental Assessment Service, Santiago; <sup>9</sup>National Research Council, CNR-ISAC, Torino; <sup>10</sup>ARIANET srl, Milano, Italy; <sup>11</sup>LT Environmental LLC; <sup>12</sup>Ecole des Mines d'Ales France; <sup>13</sup>Air Environment Consulting Pty Ltd, Brisbane, Australia; <sup>14</sup>Olfasense, Germany; <sup>15</sup>Università degli Studi di Salerno, Salerno, Italy; <sup>16</sup>Università degli Studi di Salerno, Salerno, Italy; <sup>17</sup>Wood Group UK Ltd, London, UK;

**Key words:** *Odours, Dispersion Modelling, Handbook, Meteorology.*

A new development towards the first worldwide guideline on the assessment of odour exposure by using dispersion modelling has taken its first steps. Modelling odours is complex and often requires forgetting traditional dispersion modelling operating modes and focusing on exposure. Odours are perceived in seconds which is key in calculating their impact in the ambient air. Most odour incidents are generated during calm or very low wind speeds which do not facilitate the dispersion of an odour and that makes modelling extremely challenging.

Development of the first International Handbook on Odour Modelling is an initiative promoted by over 50 experts around the globe in the area of modelling odours. The group is led by Carlos Diaz (Spain), Jennifer Barclay (New Zealand) and Günther Schaubberger (Austria). The first meeting took place in August 2020, with planned monthly meetings. The aim of this paper is to report on the advances being made for this initiative.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Odour issues are currently one of the major causes of environmental grievances around the world, and in some countries, are routinely the cause of the most environmental complaints to regulatory authorities. There continue to be multiple reasons for the increase of odour complaints, including an unrelenting urban expansion of residential areas into land use areas once mainly agricultural. In addition, there is an increasingly higher aesthetic, environmental expectation of citizens, who are less familiar and tolerant of odours than in the past, as well as concerns over potential health risks from airborne odorous substances. In most countries, environmental regulations cover most types of common air pollutants including NO<sub>2</sub> or SO<sub>2</sub>, with the criterion being based on the occurrence of health effects following short- and/or long-term exposure to the pollutants. As such, there is little health risk variation between jurisdictions, states, and countries. However, odour regulation tends to be much more varied across a wide spectrum: from having little to no specific mention in environmental legislation to extensive and rigid requirements that include a combination of odour source testing, odour dispersion modelling, ambient odour monitoring, setback distances, process operations, and odour control procedures. Odour legislation can be highly variable from

one country to the next and it can also be highly variable from one jurisdiction to the next, within the same country (Bokowa, et al., 2021).

For regulatory purposes, much of the focus of attention in the last couple of decades has been in trying to establish odour guidelines in the hope of bringing a degree of consistency to the control and regulation of odours. With the focus on setting regulations, less effort has been spent in a variety of jurisdictions on assessing the best tools suited to compute odour impacts with respect to accurate emission rates, source characterization, and the important role of local meteorology, interpretation of modelled results, or the suitability and applicability of one dispersion model over another. The 'International Handbook on the Assessment of Odour Exposure by using Dispersion Modelling' aims to address several of these key issues central to the theme of effective management and odour regulation.

A principal aim of the proposed Handbook on odour dispersion modelling will be to provide some guidance on this complex topic in a way that will be of benefit to countries with advanced odour regulations and to those countries that are looking to create regulations surrounding odour management. The Handbook will be a collaborative work by more than 60 international odour experts from nineteen countries including; Belgium, Italy, France, Austria, Spain, United Kingdom, Germany, Israel, Ireland, Brazil, Chile, Peru, Ecuador, United States of America, Qatar, Australia, China, Japan and New Zealand.

The world's odour dispersion modelling group meet once per month via teleconference while the Special Task groups, of which there are 6 also meet every month. Each task group has between 5 and 10 members who have been responsible for writing and reviewing individual sections within each task group. Seven task groups have been identified and are detailed below.

Each task group was guided by a set of principal themes which are central to the Handbook, and are as follows:

- The resulting document will be a Handbook rather than a guideline. This is to prevent conflict with those jurisdictions/states/countries that already have guidelines and regulations.
- The Handbook is to be of benefit for jurisdictions/states/countries that have strict odour regulations and for those who are just beginning to consider odour legislation.
- Rather than focus on any individual model and country, or how they apply odour regulation, the focus of attention will be on the parameters themselves.
- Valid, workable references are a key component of the document which will include live links wherever possible.
- Individual task groups will focus on the pros and cons of key subject areas. It is not the Handbook intent to disregard any existing regulations. There are many countries with advanced odour legislation that is outdated, and it can take a long time for new guidelines to progress.

The Handbook will be forward-looking making the best use of the experts' experience as well as recognizing that changing regulations can take a long time.

## **2. CONTENT OF THE HANDBOOK**

Each of the key sections of the handbook are briefly summarised below.

### **2.1 Definitions and References**

The aim of Task Group 1 (TG1) is to gather a list of commonly- used odour terms and provide a detailed definition of each terms meaning. By far the majority of odour terms are common throughout the world, but there are some important exceptions, which require an explanation otherwise the term could be mis-understood. An example of two such confusing odour terms is:

- the definition of odour and its unit.
- FIDOL vs FIDOS vs FIDO.

In Australasia (New Zealand and Australia), USA, and Europe the term, 'odour unit' is the common term for the unit of measure of odour concentration. In Australasia and the USA, this term is known as 'ou', and

in Europe is known as  $ou_E/m^3$ . They have the same meaning, but one is expressed as dilutions and the other as dilutions per cubic metre. The main difference between them is that when an emission rate, for an area source as an example, is calculated,  $ou_E/m^3$  gives an emission rate of  $ou_E/m^2/s$  whereas  $ou$  gives an emission rate of  $ou.m/s$  the former being a more logical way of expressing emission rates per unit area.

Critical to the guideline is the definition of an odour unit. One *odour unit* is the amount of odourant(s) that, when evaporated into one cubic metre of neutral gas at standard conditions, elicits a physiological response from a panel (detection threshold) equivalent to that elicited by one Reference Odour Mass (ROM), evaporated in 1 m<sup>3</sup> of neutral gas at standard conditions. The most known ROM is *the European Reference Odour Mass* (EROM) that corresponds to 123 µg of n-butanol defined in the standard EN 13725. The *odour concentration* is the number of *odour units* in a cubic metre of gas at standard conditions for Olfactometry. A commonly used acronym used to define an *odour impact criterion (OIC)* is the term *FIDOLS*, that stands for *Frequency, Intensity, Duration, Offensiveness, Location and Sensitivity*. Whilst the first 4 factors are well described in the literature, the one that refers to Sensitivity is not that well described, and is not recognised in some countries.

These are two examples of just a couple of the commonly used odour terms whose use and meaning can be entirely different depending on where you are located. A key aim of TG1 is to define all of the main odour terms around the world as well as ensure the Handbook is consistent in its use of terms throughout the document.

## 2.2 Meteorology

Task Group 2 (TG2) has identified 4 major section titles: meteorological conditions; types of meteorological data; meteorological models and how they deal with data, and; model performance assessment and reporting.

There will be discussion about processing weather station data, the use and relevance of single station observation data versus that from numerical weather prediction models, and when to use single meteorological station data versus 3D data for odour assessments. The discussion will also cover complex meteorological conditions, the length of meteorological data (how many years), and the relevance of comparing the modelled meteorological data against the long-term historic records.

Rather than discuss any one model, TG2 will focus on a number of regulatory models commonly used in odour assessments around the world including (CALMET, AERMET/AERMINUTE, ADMS, GRAL and AUSTAL) and focus on how they use critical parameters. For example, 'roughness length' is an important meteorological parameter used by all models to express the roughness of the surface. It affects the intensity of the mechanical turbulence and the fluxes of various quantities above the surface. Most models use roughness length the same way, but some models such as AERMOD are very sensitive to roughness length, while others such as CALPUFF are only moderately so.

Advice will be provided on the validity of meteorological\dispersion models according to the complexity of the study. For example, in flat terrain, sources grouped together, with no obstacles and moderate winds (steady state conditions) from a single weather station it may be appropriate to use a simple steady state Gaussian plume model. But, for complex atmospheric environments (non-steady state conditions) such as coastal zones and complex terrain it is necessary to develop the meteorological data from three-dimensional diagnostic and or prognostic numerical meteorological models.

An important section of TG2 is model evaluation and how to report the meteorology used in the dispersion modelling. Meteorology is usually the most important input component of dispersion modelling alongside the emissions data. This section will provide advice on appropriate and useful analysis and evaluation tools and will explain how to use these tools to evaluate the meteorological data.

## 2.3 Emissions and Source Characterization

Odour emissions depend strongly on the type of sampling method used. In the case of area sources, the most common odour source, there are two commonly used worldwide sampling methods: the dynamic wind tunnel and the static flux chamber. For both of these systems, the emission rate is calculated as the product

of concentration and airflow through the device. Over the last 30 years there has been a long-standing debate about the appropriateness and accuracy of wind tunnels vs flux chambers for quantifying area source emissions as the sampling devices give quite different results compared to each other and emission theory. The situation is even more confusing if the scientific literature is consulted as little guidance is provided in the selection and operation of sampling devices to obtain meaningful emission rate estimates and how these compare to odour criteria in use.

Task Group 3 (TG3) will address the complex issues surrounding odour emission quantification.

## **2.4 Dispersion Models and Algorithms**

Dispersion models can be used to predict impacts at a location, or to calculate an emission rate based on concentrations at a location. There are four types of regulatory air pollution models used in the world for odour assessments, of which three types are more commonly used. First, there are the steady-state Gaussian plume models such as AERMOD and ADMS, then the non-steady state Lagrangian puff models such as CALPUFF and SCIPUFF, and particle models; TAPM, AUSTAL, LAPMOD, GRAL and SPRAY.

Steady-state plume models assume straight-line trajectories and steady-state meteorological conditions. They have spatially uniform meteorological fields, have no memory of the previous hour's emissions, and assume a non-zero wind speed. They are ideally suited for screening cases and near-field, flat terrain applications away from the coast, where conditions are expected to be steady-state. The second type of model, the Lagrangian approach, solves a set of equations that mathematically follows the release of pollution parcels, either as puffs or particles as the plume moves through the atmosphere. These Lagrangian models allow, in such a system, to follow curved trajectories along the plume centrelines and simulating the dispersion through gaussian puffs around them. The 3D meteorology has full spatial variability in the winds and turbulence fields. The model retains information from previous hours of emissions and is well suited for modelling stagnation, fumigation, and recirculation events typical of worst-case dispersion of odours.

Eulerian grid models such as CALGRID and CMAQ, although mentioned in the Handbook are not suited to odour modelling. These models consider a fixed 3D Cartesian grid as a frame of reference where the advection-diffusion equation is numerically solved rather than in a moving frame of reference. These models are best used for explicit chemistry computations in particular ozone, air toxics, and secondary aerosols modelling and are not commonly used for odours.

TG4 recognizes that the mechanisms of odorant dispersion in the atmosphere are the same as the dispersion of other pollutants. However, there are some special problems that must be considered when attempting to quantify a source's odour impact with dispersion modelling. Among them are determining the emission rates of the pollutant, the short time period over which odours are observed, the enhancing or masking of odours by the combinations of different odours, and the high degree of subjectivity amongst a population in the perception and intensity of odours.

TG4 considers that two key factors that should be considered in evaluating whether to use a conventional steady-state plume model such as ADMS or AERMOD, or a more sophisticated approach are, whether the steady-state assumption is valid, and, whether the technical parameterizations in the plume model adequately treat the situation to be modelled.

## **2.5 Dose Response**

The role of Task Group 5 (TG5) is to discuss the dose response to odours, in other words, to assess the odour impact experienced by the community. The community impact of odours has been assessed over time with it generally being accepted that annoyance is linked to odour concentration, the frequency of impact is critical, and that the impacts of odour can go beyond annoyance effects leading to potential health impacts if not correctly managed.

The acronym FIDOLS features strongly in this section (frequency, intensity, offensiveness, duration, location, and sensitivity), where each parameter is discussed in depth. Several attempts have been made in order to describe a mathematical function that addresses all of the FIDOLS factors, but there are no

mathematical functions describing FIDOLS factors that are integrated within dispersion models due to the subjective nature of odours. As a consequence, the result produced by modelling an odour emission rate, unfortunately cannot be used as the only proof that an impact is not made when there is an evidence of odour complaints in area. TG5 discuss in depth, FIDOLS factors, percentiles and peak to mean ratios.

## **2.6 Reporting**

The final chapter of the handbook will be 'Reporting' which will be prepared by Task Group 6 (TG6). The aim of this Chapter is to discuss how much and what information should be included in an odour assessment technical report. The report should clearly set out the assumptions on which the modelling has been based and should especially consider the uncertainty associated with the model inputs and the validation of monitoring data for inclusion in the study.

## **3. Conclusions**

To date, the world odour dispersion model groups have met monthly since the idea of the 'worldwide odour dispersion model group' was conceived. Individual members of the group recognize the advantages of collaborative research and learning, which includes;

- Development of higher-level thinking, oral communication, and leadership skills.
- Exposure to and an increase in understanding of diverse perspectives.
- May provide opportunities where multiple different world-wide approaches may be applied to existing problems and lead to the development of innovative solutions.
- Discussions amongst colleagues can stimulate new ideas and increase creativity.

## **Acknowledgments**

This project has received funding from the *Environmental International Society of Odour Managers (AMIGO for its acronym in Spanish)*. Further, the authors wish to acknowledge all of the following contributing authors: *Laura Capelli, Phyllis Diosey, Dietmar Öttl, Hélène Piet Sarnet, Luis Diaz, Andrea Rossi, Hellen Arichábala, Débora Lia Perazzoli, Rafael Geha, Kenny KMLok, Geordie Galvin, Nick Jones, Manuel Santiago, Maurizio Grez, Andrea Peña, Catalina Pérez, Chaim Kolominskas, Valérie Nastasi, Ainhoa Antón, Emanuelle Duthier, Sarveshkumar Sharma, Eric Concepción, Oliver Olang, Rossella Prandi, Constanza Fariña, Jerome Godart, Adrien Bouzonville, Angie Wagner, Martí de Riquer, Eva Berbekar, Laura Hinderink, Roberto Sozzi, Mathias Rau, Ainhoa Antón, Helga Lauerbach, Osnat Yossef.*