Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

Results

Uncertainty Estimation in the Retrieval of an Atmospheric Point Release

Sarvesh Kumar Singh

Team members: Pramod Kumar, Gregory Turbelin, Raj Rani, Amir-Ali Feiz, Pierre Ngae

> Laboratory of Mechanics and Energy, Universite de Evry-Val d'Essonne, 91020 Evry, France

> > singh@ufrst.univ-evry.fr

May 11, 2016

Context

Uncertainty Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

Results

- Accidental/intentional release scenarios.
- Estimation of origin and strength of releases.
- Estimation of uncertainty in the source parameters.
 - ✓ Sampling approaches.
 - ✓ Hessian.
 - ✓ A posteriori standard error.
- Knowledge of a priori statistics related to the measurements and release.

Objective: To develop an uncertainty estimation methodology in the framework of renormalization inversion

Source-receptor relationship

Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

Results

$$\mu = As + \varepsilon$$

• $\boldsymbol{\mu} = [\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_m]$ is the measured concentrations,

• $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$: sensitivity matrix, $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, ..., \mathbf{a}_N]$ where $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$

• $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^N$: discretized source vector.

● ɛ: error.

Basic concepts of Renormalization

Uncertainty Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

Results

Unique feature is the diagonal weight matrix.

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{A}_{w} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}$$

- New sensitivity matrix $A_w = AW^{-1}$.
- Optimal weights characterized by $\mathbf{a}_{w}^{T}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{H}_{w}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{w}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ where $\mathbf{H}_{w} = \mathbf{A}_{w}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}_{w}^{T}$.

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{A}_w^T \mathbf{H}_w^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

Features of renormalization inversion

Uncertainty Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

- $\max(\hat{\mathbf{s}})$ coincides with the location of the point source (\mathbf{x}_e) .
- Source strength is determined as $\hat{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}_e)/w(\mathbf{x}_e)$.
- **H**_w = **A**_w**WA**^T_w: describe dispersion in sensitivity vectors.

$$E\left[\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{T}\right] = \boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{H}_{w}$$

$$Var[\hat{s}] < \sigma$$

Estimation of confidence bounds

Uncertainty Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

Results

A posteriori standard error is derived as,

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \mathbf{H}_w^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}{m-1}$$

• $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$: Measurement - prediction.

•
$$P\left(-t_{m-1,\alpha/2} \le \frac{s(\mathbf{x}_e) - s(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_e)}{\hat{\sigma}} \le t_{m-1,\alpha/2}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

•
$$P\left(-t_{m-1,\alpha/2} \le \frac{q-\hat{q}}{\hat{\sigma}/w(\mathbf{x}_e)} \le t_{m-1,\alpha/2}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

Fusion Field Trials

Uncertainty Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

Results

A short scale point source dispersion experiment with tracer Propylene released 10 min (Storwald, 2007).



Fusion Field Trials

Uncertainty Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

- 100 samplers arranged in 475 m \times 450 m area.
- Distance between two samplers is approx. 50m.
- Source and samplers height were 2 m.
- 11 trials of single continuous releases are considered.
- Wind is predominant from south-east to north-west direction.
- Average wind speed $U > 2 \text{ ms}^{-1}$.
- First 4 min of concentration data ignored to establish steady state.
- Average concentration measurements are considered.

Numerical computation

Uncertainty Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

- Computational domain 1200 m× 1200 m is chosen and discretized into 399×399 cells.
- Computation of sensitivity from adjoint of dispersion model.
- Estimation of point source parameters with Renormalization technique.
- Estimation of confidence bounds for release location and strength.
- Comparison with residual bootstrap method.

Resolution features of retrieved source



Figure : Trial 7.

Confidence region for source location and Comparison with bootstrap

Uncertainty Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertaintie

Dataset 8 evaluatior



Figure : Trial 7.

Confidence region for source location and Comparison with bootstrap

Uncertainty Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation



Figure : Trial 15.

Confidence interval for location error and Comparison with bootstrap



Figure : Red and blue colors denote the present method and bootstrap respectively.

Confidence interval for factor of retrieved strength and Comparison with bootstrap





Figure : Red and blue colors denote the present method and bootstrap respectively.

Conclusion

Uncertainty Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

- Estimates are proposed for uncertainty charcaterization.
- Evaluation is shown in Fusion Field Trials.
- Uncertainty in reconstruction is subjected to the number of measurements and design of monitoring network.
- A large uncertainty may occur besides the accurate retrieval.
- Present method is simpler and computationally efficient than Hessian and sampling approaches.
- The results are comparable to bootstrap sampling method.

Acknowledgement



Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

Results

The authors are thankful to the Meteorology Division, West Desert Test Center, U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground for providing access to FFT07 data set. Uncertainty Estimation

Singh et al.

Context

Analysis of uncertainties

Dataset & evaluation

Results

Thanks for kind attention