

MODEL CHAIN FOR BUOYANT PLUME DISPERSION.

Andrea Bisignano DISIT - Universitá del Piemonte Orientale, Alessandria, Italy Enrico Ferrero DISIT - Universitá del Piemonte Orientale, Alessandria, Italy Luca Mortarini ISAC-CNR, Turin, Italy

17th HARMO CONFERENCE, 9-12 MAY 2016, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY





Talk outline

- Overview of the Model Chain:
 - WRF, the Weather Research and Forecasting Model;
 - SPRAYWEB the Dispersion Model.
- WRF-SPRAYWEB Interfacing.
- Comparing simulation for EPRI BULL RUN Data Set including:
 - Meteorological Data;
 - Statistical Indexes for Concentration Field.





WRF - Weather Research and Forecasting Model SPRAYWEB - Dispersion Model Simulation and Results

> North America

WRF features WRF system flow chart

Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) Model

The WRF-ARW model is a fully compressible, nonhydrostatic model with terrain-following hydrostatic pressure vertical coordinate. It contains initialization programs, a numerical integration program and a program to do one-way nesting, and it supports a variety of capabilities including:

- The grid staggering is an Arakawa C-grid.
- Runge-Kutta 2nd and 3rd-order time integration schemes.
- 2nd to 6th-order advection schemes
- Time-split small step for acoustic and gravity-wave modes.
- The dynamics conserves scalar variables.

- Real-data and idealized simulations
- Various lateral boundary condition options.
- Full physics options.
- One-way, two-way nesting and a moving nest.
- Applications ranging from meters to thousands of kilometers.

South America HARMO17, 9-12 MAY 2016, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

Model chain for buoyant plume dispersion



WRF features WRF system flow chart

WRF Work Flow



Model chain for buoyant plume dispersion HARMO17, 9-12 MAY 2016, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY



Particles Lagrangian Stochastic Models SPRAYWEB features

Particles Lagrangian Stochastic Models LSMs

Basic assumptions

- Emissions in the atmosphere are simulated using a certain number of fictitious particles.
- Each particle represents a specified pollutant mass.
- The time evolution of a fluid particle velocity in a turbulent flow can be considered as a Markov process.

Langevin equation (Stochastic Differential equations SDE)

$$du_i = a_i (\vec{u}, \vec{x}, t) dt + b_{ij} (\vec{u}, \vec{x}, t) dW_j$$

$$dx_i = (u'_i + U_i) dt$$

where

- $a_i(\vec{u}, \vec{x}, t)$ is the drift coefficient, a deterministic term.
- $b_{ij}(\vec{u}, \vec{x}, t)$ is the diffusion coefficient.
- dW_i is the increment of a Wiener process whose distribution is G(0, dt).
- $b_{ij}(\vec{u}, \vec{x}, t) dW_j$ represents the random stochastic term.



SPRAYWEB

SPRAYWEB (Tinarelli et al, 1994; Alessandrini and Ferrero, 2009; Alessandrini et al. 2013) is a LSM designed to study the pollutants dispersion in complex terrain.

- In the two horizontal directions the PDF is assumed to be Gaussian.
- In the vertical direction the PDF is assumed to be non-Gaussian, so to deal with convective conditions.
- The equations prescribing the evolution of the vertical velocity fluctuation *w* and the displacement *z* are the following:

$$dw = a(z, w)dt + \sqrt{C_0 \epsilon} dW$$

•
$$dz = wdt$$

- $a(z, w) = \frac{1}{P} \left(B_0 \frac{\partial P}{\partial w} + \Phi \right)$ is determined by solving the Fokker-Planck equation, obtaining: where P(z, w) is the PDF.
- In the present work we used the Gram-Charlier PDF (Ferrero and Anfossi, 1998).
- SPRAYWEB includes the method for the buoyant plume rise simulation proposed by Anfossi et al. (1993).



Vertical coordinates Interpolation procedure Evaluation of turbulence parameters

WRF-SPRAYWEB Interface: Vertical coordinate

SPRAYWEB

- Terrain-following coordinates (x, y, s) to express the orography.
- They are related to the cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) as:

$$x = x$$

$$y = y$$

$$z = \frac{z - z_g(x, y)}{z - z_g(x, y)}$$

$$z_t - z_g(x, y)$$

 z_t is the top of the domain and $z_g(x, y, z)$ is the orography

e.g. s = 1 for $z = z_t$ and s = 0 for $z = z_g(x, y)$ so that s = 0 is not a horizontal plane but the orographic surface.

Time independent mapping from η to s WRF

 Terrain-following hydrostatic-pressure coordinates (Laprise 1992) defined as:

$$\eta = \frac{p_h - p_{ht}}{p_{hs} - p_{ht}}$$

- p_h is the hydrostatic component of the pressure, p_{hs} and p_{ht} refer to the values along the surface and top boundaries respectively.
- η definition is analogous to σ coordinate but η varies from a value of 1 at the surface to a value of 0 at the upper boundary.
- η are also called vertical mass coordinate and they are time-dependent.



Vertical coordinates Interpolation procedure Evaluation of turbulence parameters

WRF-SPRAYWEB Interface: interpolation procedure



- We choose a certain η at fixed time,
- We choose the time step in which the η coordinate reaches the maximum height, so that all the other $\eta(t)$ can be interpolated on this one without exceed the domain.
- We interpolate all the time-dependent WRF output variables on this given η
- η decreases with the height while *s* increases, hence we need to invert the interpolation by considering 1η instead of η
- We perform the cubic spline interpolation of Forsythe, Malcolm and Moler (1977) in which an exact cubic is fitted through the four points at each end of the data, and this is used to determine the end conditions.



Vertical coordinates Interpolation procedure Evaluation of turbulence parameters

WRF-SPRAYWEB Interface: Turbulence parameters

Estimate the Obukhov length from the three WRF output variables:

- HFX, the heat flux at surface.
- T2, 2-meters temperature.
- UST, the frictional velocity computed using similarity theory.

Evaluate the turbulence parameters through Hanna (1982) parameterisation by using:

- the Obukhov length
- the WRF output variables PBLH, i.e. the Atmospheric Boundary layer thickness
- the convective vertical velocity parameterised ad function of PBLH, T2, HFX

Interpolate the turbulent parameters:

- the velocity standard deviations $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$
- the Lagrangian scale times T_u, T_v, T_w



BULL RUN Data Set

Simulation settings Meteorological and Concentration Field Data Conclusion

EPRI Bull Run Experiment

Bull Run Dataset (Hanna and Paine 1989)

- Study period August-October 1982
- Moderately hilly site near Oak Ridge, Tennessee
- SF6 emissions from a 244 m stack
- Hourly measures of ground level concentrations
- Network of about 200 monitors
- Monitors spaced on arcs at downwind distances ranging from 0.5 to 50 km
- The arcs extended completely around the stack



Model chain for buoyant plume dispersion

HARMO17, 9-12 MAY 2016, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY



Simulation settings

WRF

- Simulation time period: from 00:00:00 15/10/82 to 00:00:00 16/10/82
- Interval 21600 second
- 4 horizontal nested grids with parent ratio 3
- Number of grid points 33, 133, 133, 67
- Extension of grids 3960 km, 1320 km, 440 km and 73
- Horizontal resolution 30000 m, 10000 m, 3333 m and 1111 m
- $^\circ$ Integration time steps for the 4 grids: 90 s, 30 s, 10 s, 3 s
- Stretched grid in vertical direction of 38 levels from $\eta=1$ to $\eta=0$
- Meteorological input NNRP data, the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis with a resolution of 2.5 deg, a six hours output frequency, 17 pressure levels
- 27 incoming vertical levels (it is determined by the NNRP data)



Simulation settings

SPRAYWEB

- Simulation time period 14-23 (LST), 15th October 1982
- Ground level concentrations measured:
 - at the three arcs with radius 2, 5, 10 km as in Hanna and Paine (1987)
 - for time period 15-18 as in Hanna and Paine (1987)
- Simulation time step 30 s
- Starting step and frequency 1800 s
- Minumum emission spacing 5 s
- Grid step on x and y direction 720 m
- Number of grid points in x,y,z direction: 100,100,20
- Top of concentration domain 5000 m
- PBL height 1000 m
- Height of first layer 40 m



BULL RUN Data Set Simulation settings Meteorological and Concentration Field Data Conclusion

Some WRF physics and dynamics options

Physics options in namelist.input

- mp-physics=4 use of WSM 5-class scheme as microphysics option
- sf-sfclay-physics=1 Monin- Obukhov similarity theory as surface scheme
- sf-surface-physics=2
 Noah Land Surface Model for the surface physics
- bl-pbl-physics=1
 YSU PBL scheme for the PBL parameterization

Dynamics options in namelist.input

- diff-opt=1 simple diffusion: gradients are simply taken along coordinate surfaces
- km-opt=4

2d Deformation: K for horizontal diffusion is diagnosed from just horizontal deformation and the vertical diffusion is assumed to be done by the PBL scheme

non-hydrostatic=true Run the model in non-hydrostatic mode



Meteorological Data: comparison beetwen wind speed and temperature simulated

with WRF and measured at 100 m height by the meteorological tower during the simulation.



HARMO17, 9-12 MAY 2016, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY



BULL RUN Data Set Simulation settings Meteorological and Concentration Field Data Conclusion

Statistical Indexes for Concentration Field

We compare the maximum and the crosswind integrated concentrations at ground, on the three arcs with radius 2, 5, 10 km in term of the following statistical indexes: mean, correlation coefficient (COR), normalised mean square error (NMSE) and fractional bias (FB).

Maximum of ground level concentration

	$Mean(\mu/m^2)$	CORR	NMSE	FB
Measured	256.333	1.000	0.000	0.000
Simulated	288.714	0.928	0.017	0.119

Crosswind integrated concentration

	$Mean(\mu/m^2)$	CORR	NMSE	FB
Measured	6625.421	1.000	0.000	0.000
Simulated	5149.403	0.903	0.219	-0.250



BULL RUN Data Set Simulation settings Meteorological and Concentration Field Data Conclusion

qqplot for crosswind-integrated concentrations





All the arcs





Conclusion I

- The use of WRF as input for SPRAYWEB lead to a series of advantages:
 - WRF model is designed to be a flexible, state-of-the-art, portable code that is efficient in a massively parallel computing environment
 - It offers numerous physics options, thus tapping into the experience of the broad modeling community
 - It is suitable for use in a broad spectrum of applications across scales ranging from meters to thousands of kilometers.
- The meteorological data from WRF are in agreement with the measurements of Bull Run experiment.



Conclusion II

- The statistical indexes from SPRAYWEB for concentration field exhibit a good agreement between the measures and the simulation results:
 - The correlation is very high both for the maximum of concentration at ground and the crosswind integrated concentration.
 - The normalised mean square error is smaller for the maximum of ground level concentration but the value for the crosswind integrated concentration is acceptable as well.
 - The fractional bias shows a slight overestimation for the maximum and an underestimation for the crosswind integrated concentration.
- We show that the two distributions of measured and simulated crosswind integrated concentration can be compared by plotting their quantiles against each other. In particular:
 - For arc with radius 2 km the simulation overestimates the crosswind integrated concentration in range $50\mu g/m^2 150\mu g/m^2$, while the two distributions are ver similar for the other values.
 - For arc with radius 5 km the simulation overestimates the crosswind integrated concentration in range $50\mu g/m^2-100\mu g/m^2$ and slightly undestimates in the remainder of the range.
 - For arc with radius 10 km the simulation underestimates the crosswind integrated concentration for all the quantiles, expecially for values greater than $100\mu g/m^2$.
 - Overall, the two distributions compare well with a little underestimation for the values up to $120 \mu g/m^2$ and an overestimation for larger values.



Work in progress

Further developments would include the following features:

- several PBL schemes available in WRF will be tested in order to understand which one is the most appropriated to build the model chain with SPRAYWEB
- different turbulence parameterisation will be implemented in the interface code.
- new plume rise schemes (Alessandrini et al., 2013; Bisignano and Devenish, 2015) will be developed in SPRAYWEB.



Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank:

- the Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate (ISAC CNR) of Turin, and expecially to Luca Mortarini, Dr.Domenico Anfossi and Dr.Silvia Trini Castelli
- my supervisor Prof. Enrico Ferrero. I would never have been able to produce this work without his guidance
- the University of Piemonte Orientale, in particular the Department of Sciences and Technological Innovation (DiSIT)

Thank you for your attention!





References

- Alessandrini, S., Ferrero, E., 2011, A Lagrangian particle model with chemical reactions: application in real atmosphere, Int. J. Environ. Pollut. 47 (1e4), 97e107.
- Alessandrini, S., Ferrero, E., Anfossi, D., 2012. A new method for buoyant plume rise computation in lagrangian particle models. Air Pollut. Model. Its Appl. XXI NATO Sci. Peace Security Ser. C: Environ. Security 4, 45e50.
- Anfossi, D., Ferrero, E., Brusasca, G., Marzorati, A., Tinarelli, G., 1993. A simple way of computing buoyant plume rise in a lagrangian stochastic model for airborne dispersion. Atmos. Environ. 27A (9), 1443e1451.
- Bisignano, A., Devenish, B., 2015, A model for temperature fluctuations in a buoyant plume. Boundary- Layer Meteorology, 57, 2, p157
- Forsythe, G. E., Malcolm, M. A. and Moler, C. B. (1977) Computer Methods for Mathematical Computations. Wiley.
- Hanna S.R., 1982. Applications in air pollution modelling. In Atmospheric Turbulence and Air Pollution Modelling, Nieuwstadt F, Van Dop H (eds).
- Hanna, S.R., Paine, R.J., 1987. Convective scaling applied to diffusion of buoyant lumes from tall stacks. Atmos. Environ. 21 (10), 2153e2162.
- Hanna, S.R., Paine, R.J., 1989. Hybrid plume dispersion model (HPDM) development and evaluation. J. Appl. Meteorol. 28, 206e224.
- Laprise, R., 1992. The Euler Equations of Motion with Hydrostatic Pressure as an Independent Variable, Monthly Weather Review, American Meteorological Society, 120, 187-207.
- Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D. M., Duda, M. G., Huang, X. Y., Wang, W., and Powers, J. G., 2008:A description of the advanced research WRF version 3, Tech. Note, NCAR/TN 475+STR, 125 pp., Natl. Cent. for Atmos.Res., Boulder, Colo., USA.
- Thomson, D.: Criteria for the seclection of stochastic models of particle trajectories in turbulent flows, 1987, J. Fluid mech., 180, 529-556.
- Tinarelli, G., Anfossi, D., Bider, M., Ferrero, E., Trini Castelli, S., 2000. A new high performance version of the Lagrangian particle dispersion model SPRAY, some case studies. In: Gryning, S.E., Batchvarova, E. (Eds.), Air Pollution Modelling and its Applications XIII. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 499e507.
- Trini Castelli, S., 2000. Mirs: a Turbulence Parameterisation Model Interfacing RAMS and SPRAY in a Transport and Diffusion Modelling System. Rap. Int. ICGF/C.N.R. No 412/2000.

୦୦୯