#### A Lagrangian particle model with chemical reaction

#### Stefano Alessandrini<sup>1</sup>

### Enrico Ferrero<sup>2</sup> Gianni Belfiore<sup>3</sup>

## CESI RICERCA, ITALY UNIVERSITA' PIEMONTE ORIENTALE, ITALY ISAC CNR, ITALY





RICERCA

### Introduction

erenci

- Needs of estimating plume secondary pollutants like NO<sub>2</sub>
- A lagrangian one particle model can compute only the average concentrations and not correlation between reactants
- To know average concentrations we refer to a finite volume in an eulerian frame
- To simulate the chemical reactions an eulerian scheme has been introduced, that make use of a fixed grid concentration computation
   How to simulate the background O<sub>3</sub> concentration filling the whole domain

### Lagrangian model description

SPRAY (Ferrero and Anfossi, 1998) is a Lagrangian stochastic particle model for complex terrain based on three Langevin equations for the random velocities (Thomson, 1987):

$$du = a(x, u)dt + b(x, u)dW(t)$$
  

$$dx = (U+u)dt$$
  
U is the mean wind velocity,

a(x, u)dt is a deterministic term depending on P<sub>E</sub>(x,u),

 $b(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})dW(t)$ 

is a stochastic term

dW(t)

is the incremental Wiener process.

# Concentration computations

- The concentration are computed in an Eulerian Grid
- Concentration in the cell of volume  $V_J$ , is computed considering the total mass of the particles contained

### Algorithm for chemical reactions

The simple chemical reaction is considered :

 $A + B \xrightarrow{\kappa} C \quad (NO + O_3 \xrightarrow{k} NO_2 + O_2)$ 

The chemical kinetic follows:

$$\frac{\partial c_A}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial c_B}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial c_C}{\partial t} = -kc_A c_B$$

### Algorithm for chemical reactions

- Based on the papers of Chock and Winkler, 1994a, 1994b
- $C_{A,B,C}(x,t_0)$  is the concentration at the time  $t_0$
- After the turbulent displacements we have at the time  $t_1 = t_0 + \Delta t$ ,  $C^*_{A,B,C}(x,t_1)$
- After the chemical reactions we have  $C_{A,B,C}(x,t_1)$  from the following :

$$\left\langle c_{A}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle = \left\langle c_{A}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle - k\Delta t \left\langle c_{A}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle \left\langle c_{B}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle \\ \left\langle c_{B}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle = \left\langle c_{B}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle - k\Delta t \left\langle c_{A}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle \left\langle c_{B}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle \\ \left\langle c_{C}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle = \left\langle c_{C}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle + k\Delta t \left\langle c_{A}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle \left\langle c_{B}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{j},t_{1})\right\rangle$$



## The segregation coefficient

Following the Reynolds hypothesis:



For this reaction is <=0

#### How to account for the segregation

- Neglecting  $\alpha$  the kinetic of the chemical reaction is overestimated
- Two possibilities: two particles model (Crone 1999, van Dop 2001) that still meet some limit in the application to real atmospheric non homogeneous conditions

The segregation coefficient

 $\alpha = \frac{\langle c_A' c_B' \rangle}{\langle c_A \rangle \langle c_B \rangle}$ 

can be parameterised according to experimental data

### Wind tunnel experiment: Bilger et al.1996



### Wind field simulation: RAMS

- Simulation period: 500 sec
- Time step: 0.01 sec
- Domain dimensions (x,y,z): 8 x 8 x 8 m<sup>3</sup>;
- Grid dimensions (x,y,z): 0.1x0.2x0.3 m<sup>3</sup>;
- Velocity component along x: 0.5 m/s;
- Average velocity along y and z: 0 m/s;

# Turbulence parameterisation

• The velocity variances and the lagrangian time scales are derived from the measurements (Bilger, 1996)

$$\overline{\sigma_{u}}^{2} = 0.173 \cdot \overline{U}^{2} \left(\frac{x}{M}\right)^{-1.37}$$

$$\overline{\sigma_{v,w}}^{2} = 0.041 \cdot \overline{U}^{2} \left(\frac{x}{M}\right)^{-1.27}$$

$$T_{Lx,y,z} = \frac{D}{\sigma_{u,v,w}^{2}} = \frac{0.002048}{\sigma_{u,v,w}^{2}}$$

where D is the diffusivity and its value was estimated in the experiment

### SPRAY simulation characteristic

- Source dimension (x,y,z): 0.01x0.03x0.03 m<sup>3</sup>;
- NO concentration at the source : 505 p.p.m.;
- Background O<sub>3</sub> concentration: 1 p.p.m.;
- Reaction rate k: 0 (s p.p.m.)<sup>-1</sup> o 0.44 (s p.p.m.)<sup>-1</sup>
- Time step: 0.1s;
- Number of emitted particles every time step: 1000 for NO ;
- Boundary conditions at the top and bottom boundaries: Total reflection;
- Number of iterations: 5000
- Concentration grid dimensions (DX, DY, DZ): 0.1, 0.02, 0.02 m
- Average concentrations computed between the time steps 2000 and 5000, saving the temporary concentrations every 50 time steps

### SPRAY simulation: frozen case

NO concentration along horizontal section in the frozen case (k=0). The lines indicates the sections where the concentration distributions have been computed (at x/M=7, 9, 12, 15, 17) and consequently the plume width σ



### Frozen case: comparison with experimental data

 Comparison between measured and simulated values of σ<sub>m</sub> (left), and between measured and simulated plume centre line values at different distances x/M, (M=0.32 m) from the source (right)



# Constant background O<sub>3</sub> case

- Damköhler is defined as
- It represents the ratio between the time scales of turbulence and chemical reactions, in this experiment N<sub>d</sub>= 0.24 so the turbulence is faster than the chemistry

 $N_D = \frac{kMC_{O_3}}{2}$ 

- The turbulence should be able to restore the original background value of 1 ppm reduced by the chemical reaction
- Coloured line: Spray with the chemical reaction algorithm is activated every 0.1
   sec and 4 sec



### Segregation coefficient parameterisation

- Two kind of simulations have been performed considering the background O<sub>3</sub> diffusion:
- 1. the segregation coefficient has been neglected (  $\alpha = 0$  )
- 2. the following parameterisation, obtained interpolating experimental measurements of the covariance performed in the wind tunnel experiment, is proposed

$$k \cdot \langle c_A c_B \rangle = k \langle c_A \rangle \langle c_B \rangle \left[ 1 + \frac{\langle c_A c_B \rangle}{\langle c_A \rangle} \right]$$

$$k \langle c_A \rangle \langle c_B \rangle$$

$$k \langle c_A \rangle \langle c_B \rangle (1 + \alpha)$$

$$\alpha = -0.77 \cdot e^{-\frac{0.106}{N_D} \frac{x}{x_s}} \qquad N_d = 0.24$$

$$x_s = 20M$$

where  $x_s$  is the stechiometric distance and  $N_d$  the Damköhler number

### Background O<sub>3</sub> simulated with particles: comparison with the measurements

NO: trend of the plume centre line concentrations



NO: section x/M = 7







### Background $O_3$ simulated with particles

- Number of particles released every time step (0.1 sec): 1000 for NO, 80000 for O<sub>3</sub> (12 hours of computational time for a 5 minutes long simulation)
- The NO plume is described with more accuracy than the background  $O_3$



### Background $O_3$ simulated with holes

- The decreasing of the O<sub>3</sub> background concentration happens only in the presence of NO and hence only inside the plume
- It is not useful simulating the O<sub>3</sub> diffusion where NO is absent because its concentration remains constant (well mixed condition)
- We have adopted the scalar:

 $C_{O3hole} = C_{O3back} - C_{O3}$ 

where  $C_{O3back}$  is in our case constant equal to 1 ppm

- The scalar  $C_{O3hole}$  is released only by the point source together with NO requiring no other particles than those of the plume to be well represented
- Then the actual C<sub>03</sub> is computed before the chemical reaction, therefore the algorithm remains unchanged

# Background O<sub>3</sub> simulated with holes:comparison with the measurements

NO: trend of the plume centre line concentrations



NO: section x/M=7







### Conclusions

erence

- The  $O_3$  depletion inside the plume needs to be simulated
- Simulating the background O<sub>3</sub> diffusion by emitting particles filling the domain requires extremely high computational time and does not guarantees enough accuracy.
- Simulating the background O<sub>3</sub> by means of "holes" yields better results requiring much less computational time
- The segregation effect should be considered to avoid the  $NO_2$  overestimation and  $O_3$  underestimation

## Future developments

- Application in real atmosphere testing the results with experimental data
- In diurnal condition the chemical module must be changed adding the following reaction system

$$NO_2 + h\nu \rightarrow NO + O \left\{ NO_2 + O_2 + h\nu \rightarrow NO + O_3 \\ O + O_2 \rightarrow O_3 \end{array} \right\} NO_2 + O_2 + h\nu \rightarrow NO + O_3$$

Development of an adaptive grid to increase the resolution of the 3-D concentration fields near the source