

Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu

# Data mining: Use of historical Cabauw SF6 experiments

Gertie Geertsema

Harmo16 conference Varna 8-11 september 2014





- 1. Why Data mining Cabauw SF6 experiments
- 2. Method establish confidence in observations
- 3. Method compare with met.model
- 4. Conclusions

# Trigger – Chemical accident near Rotterdam

Fire at chemical plant at Moerdijk januari 2011

- Effected area 0-80km
- Different governmental parties; cities, firebrigades, police, health, safety boards, ...
- Communication (and harmonisation) necessary





### Trigger – long range; strong shear



#### The fire at chemical plant

- Effected area 0-80km
- Strong wind shear
- gap between short- and long-range models
- $\rightarrow$  Need for



- A medium range dispersion forecast
- dynamically driven by

high resolution weather forecast

TNO (Nils Rosmuller, Hans Boot), RIVM (Eelke Kooi) and KNMI

(Gertie Geertsema) investigated the options and specifications.

### **Trigger – validation necessary**



Looking for validation sets at jsirwin.com website:

SF6 tracer experiments at Cabauw For this purpose ideal:

- in the centre of the Netherlands

United

Kingdon

España

Portugal

- Cabauw-Rotterdam: 35km



## Confidence – Cabauw experiment overview

Investigation of usefulness and extention of observations showed:

- Extensive number of runs
- Experiments cover different weather regimes
- Two relevant release heights (80 and 200m)
- The data are still significant since the environment has hardly changed
- Concentration measurements at 3-5 km from the source



### **Confidence – data mining possible?**



8.1

7.0

7.6

8.1

7.9

7.6

7.8

Is it possible to use the data? The data is not yet digitalized. Some data is difficult to read. But a pilot showed that it is possible to recover most of the information.

	RUN 1	(28 Apri	1 1777).	Та	ble 3			- <u>13</u> -		
·				time in GMT						
	height in m	10.30	11.00	11.30	12.00	12.30	13.00 13.30	# run 1 (28 April 1977) Table	3 Time in GMT	
	200	7.5	8.3	7.8	7.4	7.8	8.1	<pre># first row: mdi h[m] # volgende rijen: hh:mm [GMT] ff[m/ 99 200 160 120 80 40 20 10 5 10:30 7.5 7.5 7.3 99. 6.8 6.0 5.6 5 11:00 8.3 8.3 8.1 7.8 7.5 6.7 6.2 5 11:30 7.8 8.0 8.0 7.6 7.2 6.3 5.8 5 12:00 7.4 7.5 7.4 6.9 6.5 5.7 5.2 4 12:30 7.8 7.8 7.6 7.0 6.4 5.5 5.0 4 13:00 8.1 7.9 7.6 7.8 6.1 5.0 4.5 4 ~ ~</pre>	h[m] ff[m/s] 10 5 1.5	
	160	7.5	8.3	8.0	7.5	7.8	7.9		5.6 5.3 4.1 6.2 5.8 4.7 5.8 5.4 4.3	
	120	7.3	8.1	8.0	7.4	7.6	7.6		5.2 4.8 3.9 5.0 4.5 3.5 4.5 4.2 3.3	
U	40	 6.8	7.8	7.2	6.5	6.4	6.1			
	20	6.0	6.7	6.3	5.7	5.5	5.0	~ ~ ~		
	10	5.6	6.2	5.8	5.2	5.0	1.5	~ ~ ~		
	5	5.3	5.8	5.4	4.8	4.5	4.2	~ ~ INSERT		
	1.5	4.1.	4.7	4.3	3.0	3.5	3.3	3.0		

For the first experiment (called Run 1 in the original document) we<sup>1</sup> have reconstructed the weather situation using the mesoscale numerical weather prediction model Harmonie<sup>2</sup>. # run 1 characteristics:

- 28 April 1977
- @10:47 GMT
  - Ps 1010.8 hPa
  - Humidity 53%
- @12:52 GMT
  - Ps 1009.0 hPa
  - Humidity 53%
- Windspeed: 5-8m/s
- Winddir: 140-160 deg
- Temp: 10-13 Celsius

1 Gertie Geertsema & Henk van den Brink (KNMI) 2 more information on Harmonie see website hirlam.org

#### **Compare – obs and met.model**







Left the original weather chart, Right surface pressure as reconstruceted using Harmonie

#### Compare – obs and met.model



Comparison model versus observations shows

- Temperature : underestimation appr .5-1 degree
- Windspeed: underestimation appr .5-1 m/s
- winddirection is off by appr 20-30 degrees

But as can be seen in slide 7 the temporal variation is large. Therefore it can be concluded that these are promising results.



# Conclusion Cabauw SF6 1977-1978

- It is interesting to "refurbish" historical tracer experiments
- Cabauw SF6 is a well documented experiment
- SF6 is a greenhouse gas, use should be avoided if possible
- Reconstruction of weather using mesoscale model Harmonie is tested for run1 and shows promising results.