Quantifying the Impact of COVID-19 Restrictions on Emissions using Inverse Modelling and Measurements



David Carruthers¹, Molly Oades¹, George McCosh¹, <u>Rod Jones², Olalekan Popoola², Jim Mills³ and Felicity Sharp⁴</u>

> 21st International Conference on Harmonisation within Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling for Regulatory Purposes

> > 27-30 September 2022,

Aveiro, Portugal

Cambridge Environmental Research Consultants Environmental Software and Services



CERC

¹CERC, ²University of Cambridge, ³Scotswolds, ⁴ACOEM

Motivation

- Unprecedented change in transport activity caused by the March 2020 lockdown
- Potential for massive discrepancies in emissions compared with established emissions inventories
- Vital that we try to understand the changes some effects could be longlasting or even permanent (e.g. increased home-working)
- Emissions inventories take time to collate we need to understand the impacts on emissions sooner – e.g. air quality forecasting
- <u>Plus</u> this is a once-in-a-generation chance to analyse and understand the effects of a step-change in transport activity on this scale
- The work presented here focuses on London, through our work on the Breathe London Pilot project



What do air quality measurements in London tell us?

Measured NO_x in London: Network mean of the daily mean NO_x concentration at LAQN and AQE sites



What was the impact of the March 2020 restrictions?



Outside ULEZ —

Location

Inside ULEZ

- Look closer at the initial lockdown period
- Concentrations are high on the second day of lockdown (25 March)
- Concentrations were lower after the restrictions began to be lifted from 10 May than when London was under the most severe restrictions (24 March to 9 May)
- We need to tease apart the effects of meteorology from the effects of the lockdown itself...

Breathe London Pilot

BreatheLondonPilot.org

BREATHE LONDON

A project combining **modelling** with **measurements** from small low cost sensors and mobile monitors to give insight into London's air pollution



ADMS-Urban: Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling System



HARMO21, Portugal, 2022

Understand the problem: Model gives air pollution levels everywhere, all the time

Modelled air pollution in London in 2019 with a resolution of 10 metres



Area coloured yellow, orange and red exceeds UK annual objective of 40 μ g/m³ for NO₂



Area coloured yellow, orange and red exceeds WHO guideline of 10 μ g/m³ for PM_{2.5}



Understand the problem: Model gives air pollution levels everywhere, all the time

Modelled air pollution in London in 2019 with a resolution of 10 metres



Area coloured yellow, orange and red exceeds UK annual objective of 40 μ g/m³ for NO₂



Area coloured yellow, orange and red exceeds WHO guideline of 10 $\mu g/m^3$ for PM $_{\rm 2.5}$



Inversion scheme: Cost function

Cost function J minimised using a non-negative least squares solver to obtain x, a vector of adjusted emissions.

$$J(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e})^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e})$$

Quantity	Definition	Dimensions	
х	Vector of <i>a posteriori</i> emissions (result)	n	
Μ	Transport matrix relating the source term to	n by k	
	the observations		
У	Vector of observations	k	
R	Error covariance matrix for the observations	k by k	
e	Vector of <i>a priori</i> emissions	n	
В	Error covariance matrix for the emissions	n by n	

Run **ADMS-Urban** with unit emission rates to **calculate** the normalised contribution from each source to each receptor

Estimated measurement error and error covariance between monitors or sensors

Estimated emissions error and error co-variance between sources

The background concentration each hour is treated as a source that contributes equally to every receptor

CERC Inversion Scheme

The inversion scheme uses monitoring data from a network of monitors/sensors to adjust emissions to reduce error in the model predictions:



Carruthers DJ, Stidworthy AL, Clarke D, Dicks KJ, Jones RL, Leslie I, Popoola OAM, Billingsley A and Seaton M, 2018: Urban emission inventory optimisation using sensor data, an urban air quality model and inversion techniques. International Journal of Environment

and Pollution, vol. 66, issue 4, pp. 252-266

HARMO21, Portugal, 2022

Inversion scheme inputs for London COVID-19 NO_x impact analysis

Hourly meteorological data from Heathrow Airport NO_x emissions and traffic flows for London for 2019 from the GLA's London Atmospheric Emissions Inventory (LAEI) Upwind hourly background concentrations from 4 rural AURN sites around London

LAQN and AQE hourly monitored NO_x data at 115 sites across London Breathe London AQMesh hourly monitored NO_X data at 80 sites across London ADMS-Urban modelled hourly concentrations at each monitoring site for each source

Analysis period: 1 January 2020 – 30 April 2021



Inversion scheme uncertainties and error covariances

Uncertainties

- Measurements:
 - AQMesh 12 µg m⁻³
 - LAQN/AQE 4 µg m⁻³
- Emissions (% of emission rate): roads 100%, fuels 50%, other 20%
- Background (% of conc): 0.1% (i.e. background unchanged)

Error covariances

(as a percentage of uncertainty)

- AQMesh-AQMesh and LAQN/AQE-LAQN/AQE : 5%
- Zero error covariance between AQMesh and LAQN/AQE
- Roads-Roads 40% (common emission factors)
- Fuels-Fuels 20% (commercial and domestic oil and gas)
- Other-Other 20%

Results – NO_x concentrations

Comparison of observed and modelled NO_x concentrations (mean of the daily mean)



Results – NO_x concentrations – individual sites



Impact of COVID restrictions clear: model over-predicts because input emissions are not realistic



Results – NO_x concentrations – individual sites

Kerbside reference monitor



Results – NO_x concentrations – individual sites

Roadside AQMesh Sensor



Results – NO_x concentrations, modelled vs measured

123

55

25

11

5

2



- Inversion Scheme is designed to adjust emissions to improve modelled concentrations
- As expected, agreement with observations greatly improved
- Correlation close to 1 for reference sites
- Agreement with reference monitors better than AQMesh sensors, as expected due to AQMesh sensors having higher input measurement uncertainty
- Inversion Scheme is behaving as expected – what does it say about emissions?

Results – Changes in derived NO_x road emissions

Percentage change in mean daily average measured concentrations and derived road emissions Compared with average values over the period 1 Jan 2020 to 16 Mar 2020



HARMO21, Portugal, 2022

Results – Lowest NO_x road emissions at weekends

Percentage change in mean daily average measured concentrations and derived road emissions Compared with average values over the period 1 Jan 2020 to 16 Mar 2020



HARMO21, Portugal, 2022

Results – PM_{2.5} concentrations

Comparison of observed and modelled PM_{2.5} concentrations (mean of the daily mean)



Dotted line marks 24 March 2020, the first full day of lockdown

Ongoing and future work

Other applications

CO₂ concentrations and emissions in London over the same period

Verifying the **CO**₂ emissions inventory for Glasgow (COP26)

Industrial applications (e.g. quantifying H₂S emissions from landfill sites)

CFRC

System developments

Introducing **time correlations**, to reduce sensitivity to missing data (currently each hour is treated independently), with break dates where changes are expected to break correlations (e.g. COVID-19 lockdowns, bank holidays etc)

Increasing **computational efficiency** to allow more sources to be included (currently low-contributing sources are removed)

This analysis was funded by a NERC COVID-19 emergency grant with the University of Cambridge and ACOEM – thanks to Rod Jones, Jim Mills and their teams, and of course CERC colleagues.

Thank you for listening!

amy.stidworthy@cerc.co.uk