# H2020 ClairCity project: Assessment of air quality impacts for Bristol City Council

V. Rodrigues, K. Oliveira, S. Coelho, J. Ferreira, A. P. Fernandes, S. Rafael, C. Borrego, C. Faria, K. Vanherle, P. Papics, O. Ivanova, T. Husby, I. Diafas, P. Nielsen, A. Kewo, C. Trozzi, E. Piscitello, S. Knudsen, J. Barnes, S. Slingerland, H. Bolscher, E. Hayes, and M. Lopes

vera.rodrigues@ua.pt University of Aveiro, Portugal

www.claircity.eu





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 689289



# ClairCity objectives

- Putting citizens behaviour and practices at the heart of the debate
- Develop a suite of innovative toolkits for enhanced quantification, engagement and impact evaluation
- Integrate citizens behaviours in city policies now and in the future
- Raise **awareness** of environment changes and their **solutions**

# The ClairCity approach

- Six distinct case studies
  - Bristol: pilot case study
  - City with a traffic problem: high NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations
  - Potential problem related with PM2.5 concentrations



## The ClairCity approach



### Air quality at urban scale



<u>'Priority Air Pollutants'</u>

concentration fields NO<sub>2</sub>, PM10, PM2.5

# Air quality maps

NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations

# NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations

- URBAIR model outputs
- Different categories were simulated separately
- Underestimation of the simulated concentrations



### **Background concentrations**

- NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations
- Transboundary contribution and other remaining sources
- Concentration maps from UK's Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA)
- Contributions from aircraft, rail, other and rural sectors



# Model adjustment

NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations

## Diffusion tubes measurements

- A total of 107 tubes:
- 96 roadside, 4 kerbside and 7 background tubes



#### Location of the diffusion tubes with information about the site type



Annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations measured by each diffusion tube in 2015

#### Continuous measurements

min = 22.5 μg.m<sup>-3</sup> max = 44.2 μg.m<sup>-3</sup> mean = 36.0 μg.m<sup>-3</sup>

- 2 roadside, 1 kerbside and 1 background sites
- St Paul's urban background station from the AURN network





measured by equipment in 2015

# Air quality for the baseline year

- NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations
- 231 cells exceeding the EU legal limit value

adjustment factor of 1.6



# Air quality maps

**PM** concentrations

# Air quality for the baseline year

- Particulate matter concentrations
- Cells exceeding the WHO guidelines:
  - 16 for PM10 concentrations
  - 655 for PM2.5 concentrations







# Population exposure

Bristol

#### Population exposure in Bristol

 5% of Bristol population was potentially exposed to harmful levels of NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in 2015



#### Population exposure in Bristol

• Population potentially exposed to PM10 and PM2.5 concentrations above the WHO recommendations



#### **BAU** scenario

#### Business-as-usual (BAU): assess how

emissions change in the future, without policy changes but taking into account behavioral, technological changes and effects of existing policy, for 3 years (2025, 2035, 2050)

## BAU impacts on NO<sub>2</sub>

- Overall reduction of the maximum concentration in 16%, in 2050 when compared with 2025
- Exceedances to the EU limit value in 2025 (only 5 cells)



#### Citizen-led and Citizen-targeted scenarios

Targeted scenarios that identify 'enabling' policies so that greener choices/behaviour become the social norm for everyone



## Unified Policy Scenario

- Ban polluting cars
- Cheaper public transport
- Cleaner buses
- Walking and cycling
- Charge polluting vehicles entering the city
- Reduce private car road space
- Improve energy efficiency in housing
- Promote electrical vehicles
- Increase solar and wind
- Property developers to consider air quality and climate change
- Spread economic opportunities across the city

### UPS impacts on NO<sub>2</sub>

- UPS scenario leads to compliance with legal NO<sub>2</sub> limit values in 2025, whereas BAU scenario does not
- Maximum concentration: reduction of 11% and 17% in the UPS, compared to the BAU





# What have we learnt with ClairCity?

- Popular and effective (or not?) measures
  - "Promoting public transport":
    - quite popular
    - moderate effect...
  - "Reduce access to private cars":
    - very effective for  $No_x$
    - if access restriction level is set ambitious enough (e.g. no diesel)



# What have we learnt with ClairCity?

- Popular and effective measures
  - "residential fuel switch":
    - effective for PM
    - importance currently underestimated by most citizens
  - "stimulate walking/cycling":
    - can be effective!
    - cultural shift needed!
    - more a "desire" then a true policy measure
  - Also important to note: already in the BAU situation will improve a lot



### ClairCity

Our future with clean air

www.claircity.eu @ClairCity



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 689289.