Modeling system for dispersion calculations during accidental releases

Leif Enger and Stefan Söderberg Uppsala, Sweden

Need of dispersion calculation after e.g. a train accident or a truck accident











The ALARM system uses a combination of measurements and models



The ALARM system

- Regional model (COAMPS) with 12 km resolution (half Scandinavia)
- Meso-scale model (MIUU) with 1 km resolution (over the accidental area)
- Model database (a large library of simulated wind and turbulence fields)
- Measurements
- Dispersion model

Regional model (COAMPS)

- Prognosis over southern Scandinavia
- 12 km grid resolution
- Every 6 hours, a 30 hours prognosis is performed
- As input (initial and lateral conditions), forecasts from the Global Forecast System (GFS, NOAA) is used
- The synoptic and the regional models are working continuously

Meso-scale model (MIUU) with 1 km resolution (over the accidental area)

- Prognosis over the actual accidental area
- 1 km grid resolution
- This prognosis take care of the local terrain
- Length of prognosis up to 24 hours
- Measurements in the area is used to correct the regional model (COAMPS)
- The MIUU model is used only during accidental release

Model database (library of simulated wind and turbulence fields)

- A large library of wind, humidity and turbulence fields (10 000 meteorological situations) is created
- 1 km resolution for the total area (200x250 km)
- 3 classes of magnitude of the geostrophic wind (4, 8 and 14 m/s)
- Every 12.5 degrees of azimuth
- Four different "seasons"

Measurements

- 10 masts (10 m high) with wind speed, wind direction and temperature profile
- 3 sodars to get wind and wind direction at higher elevations
- Measured data is collected every hour by the computer

Dispersion model

- Semi-Gaussian trajectory model
- Standard deviations are calculated from the model simulated turbulent energy in combination with normalized Eulerian spectra

Emissions, type of source etc

- Data of typical emissions during an accidental release and other source data are provided by the Swedish Rescue Service Agency
- The model is implemented on computers and desktops for the people leading a rescue in the area
- They automatically call up the server (through ftp) with indata for the accident.
- In 2 minutes they get back results for actual time and 2 hours prognosis

Comparison with measurements

- Wind direction at 150 m sodar against COAMPS
- Wind speed at 150 m sodar against COAMPS



Corrected data with constant 26 degrees

Correlation: 0.97 RMSE: 9 deg

Mean Sodar-Coamps: 0 deg

| | Mdsod-coamps | Corr | RMSE |
|------|--------------|------|------|
| Nov | -2 | 0.97 | 23 |
| Dec | 1 | 0.91 | 29 |
| Jan | 0 | 0.97 | 8.8 |
| Feb | 7 | 0.96 | 3.4 |
| Mars | -5 | 0.94 | 1.8 |
| Apr | -7 | 0.93 | 1.1 |
| Maj | -18 | 0.89 | 3.5 |
| Jun | -1 | 0.88 | 0.9 |
| Jul | -11 | 0.92 | 0.3 |
| Aug | -8 | 0.92 | 5.7 |
| Mean | -4 | 0.93 | 8 |



Corrected data

Correlation : 0.84 RMSE: 0.23 Mean sodar: 8.6 m/s Mean Coamps: 8.8 m/s



Coamps wind speed is corrected with the black line

Correction for 6 hours prognosis

•The new corrected Coamps value at start time is compared with measured sodar value at that time.

•All the six values is multiplied with Ustartsodar/Ucorrected_coamps

•The above figure shows the wind speed with these corrections

| | Usodar | Ucoamps | Corr | RMSE |
|------|--------|---------|------|------|
| Nov | 6.7 | 7.0 | 0.84 | 0.21 |
| Dec | 6.2 | 6.7 | 0.94 | 0.48 |
| Jan | 8.6 | 8.5 | 0.84 | 0.05 |
| Feb | 7.7 | 8.1 | 0.87 | 0.42 |
| Mars | 6.4 | 6.0 | 0.79 | 0.36 |
| Apr | 5.5 | 4.7 | 0.72 | 0.72 |
| Maj | 4.5 | 3.6 | 0.72 | 0.93 |
| Jun | 6.4 | 5.7 | 0.82 | 0.75 |
| Jul | 5.1 | 4.4 | 0.74 | 0.76 |
| Aug | 5.8 | 5.2 | 0.85 | 0.57 |
| Mean | 6.3 | 6.0 | 0.81 | 0.53 |

Summary

- Measured and corrected forecast wind direction and wind speed at 150 m agree very well
- Dispersion model has already in earlier studies shown good result
- The Alarm-system is a robust, easy to use, and reliable short-term (6-24 h) forecast dispersion system