

Modeling of Organic Aerosol during the MEGAPOLI Summer Campaign in the Paris Region CHIMERE - Volatility Basis Set approach

Q.J.Zhang (1)*, M.Beekmann (1), L. Poulain (2), A. Held (2), A. Wiedensohler (2), K. Kamilli (2), H. Herrmann (2), P. de Carlo (3), M. Crippa (3), R. Chirico (3), M. Heringa (3), Claudia Mohr (3), A. Prevot (3), U. Baltensperger (3), E. Freney (4), A. Schwarzenboeck (4), K. Sellegri (4), J.M. Pichon (4), L. Gomes (5), B. Bessagnet (6), S. Pandis (7), A. Hodzic(8)

zhang@lisa.univ-paris12.fr

(1) Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques (LISA), Université Paris Est et 7, CNRS, Créteil, France

- (2) Institute for Troposphärenforschung, Leipzig, Germany,
- (3) Paul Scherrer Institut, Villingen, Switzerland,
- (4) Laboratoire de Météorologie Physique, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- (5) Centre National de Recherche Météorologique, Toulouse, France
- (6) Institut National de l'EnviRonnement industriel et des rlSques, Verneuil en Halatte, France
- (7) Foundation for Research and Technology, Hellas, University of Patras, Greece

(8) National Center for Atmospheric Research, USA.

* Also Aria technologies

Context & Objective



MEGAPOLI Paris Campaign: Quantify primary and secondary sources of organic aerosols, in urban and in plume

Objective: Improve simulation of SOA in CHIMERE (regional CTM)



Volatility Basis Set approach (VBS)Robinson et al., 2007Lane et al. 2008

Compare with MEGAPOLI measurements

MEGAPOLI Campaign - Measurements



Matthias.BEEKMANN (Tuesday 14h, Harmo13)

3 primary sites=> suite of *in situ* measurements / + meteo at SIRTA.3 secondary sites=> lidar and spectroscopic measurements / or in situ3 mobile labs=> full in situ measurements (PSI + MPI)1 mobile lab=> lidar measurements (CEA)1 aircraft ATR-42=> full in situ measurements (SAFIRE, CNRS, MPI)

SOA scheme in CHIMERE

- Classic scheme based on "two-product" approach of Odum et al. 1996 VOC precursors $\xrightarrow{OH,}_{O3,}$ $\alpha \sum SVOC$ (Surrogated Semi-volatile VOC species with distinct volatilities) (Pun et Seigneur, 2006, Bessagnet et al. 2008) Phase
- VBS « Volatility Basis-Set » approach VOC precursors \xrightarrow{OH} n \sum SVOC with defined volatility bins (Robinson et al., 2007, Lane et al. 2008, Murphy and Pandis. 2009)

Aerosol

transfe

VBS approach main features



Model configuration



Model simulations

- Simulations with classic scheme (POA non-volatile)
- × Simulations with VBS

Total SOA=Oxygenated OA

Semivolatile-SOA	Anthropogenic SOA	Biogenic SOA (BSOA)	
VBS	VBS	Classic	
Partitioning of POA emissions (SVOC) + additional IVOC	Lumped VOC (Aromatics, Higher Alkanes, etc.) according to SAPRC 4 volatility bins	Isoprene, Terpene, α- pinene, β-pinene, Limonene, Ocimene, Humunene	
Chemical aging	Chemical aging		

(Robinson et al., 2007, Murphy and Pandis. 2009)

(Lane et al. 2008)

(Pun and Seigneur, 2006; Bessagnet al. 2008)

AMS measurements

Aerodyne aerosol Mass spectrometer (AMS) → Organic Aerosol (OA=POA+SOA)

LHVP: by IFT (urban site)
Preliminary simplified PMF (Positive Matrix)
Factorization (Poor man's PMF)
→ Hydrocarbon-like Organic Aerosol (HOA)
→ Biomass Burning Organic Aerosol (BBOA)
HOA+BBOA ⇔ Primary Organic Aerosol (BBOA)
HOA+BBOA ⇔ Primary Organic Aerosol (POA)
→ Oxygenated Organic Aerosol (OOA)
OOA ⇔ SOA(S-SOA+ASOA+BSOA)

SIRTA: upwind of Paris agglomeration, by PSI (suburban site)

Flight: by LaMP









S-SOA+BSOA

POA+BSOA



Diurnal Variation of OA (LHVP-Urban site)



High yield case (LHVP-Urban site)

- POA-SVOC/IVOC emissions *2 - Anthropogenic SOA yield *2



Background concentration underestimated Peak overestimated

13

Regional influence on OOA plume



Local formation of OA vs. measurements on flight



Conclusion

• The "Volatility Basis Set" VBS approach has been implemented into a regional CTM (CHIMERE) and evaluated with measurements from the MEGAPOLI Paris summer campaign

Urban / suburban sites

• The VBS approach avoids an overestimation of urban HOA especially in the morning, OOA lacks in the evening

OOA peaks with strong continental influx, and a local peak with strong simulated anthropogenic aerosol are better simulated by VBS

=> important contribution from S-SOA vs. ASOA

- Underestimation of OOA peaks with simulated BSOA origin, underestimation of urban background concentrations.
- High yield case overestimes OA peak, but still underestimes local OA background

Plume evolution (for one flight with strong OA formation in plume):

 VBS under-estimates background OA levels; slope of ΔOA / ΔOx plot is OK within a factor of two => OK considering VBS uncertainties

OUTLOOK :

Sensitivity tests under way (ASOA yield, chemical aging speed, POA emissions and volatility) Redo evaluation with full data set, including winter campaign The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme FP/2007-2011 MEGAPOLI under grant agreement n° 212520. The MEGAPOLI campaign has received additional support by French ANR and LEFE/CHAT programs, and by the IIe de France SEPPE program.

IFT, PSI and LaMP teams are thanked for providing AMS measurement data.

Thank you !

AWAR WORK OF COMMON

Annexe

ASOA

			in a kan sa kan kan	R ka sa ka sa ka sa ka
С* (µg m-3) at 300К	1	10	100	1000
Lumped VOC				
ALK4		0.01		
ALK5		0.1		
ARO1	0.01	0.03	0.075	0.25
ARO2	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.25
OLE1	0.001	0.002	0.023	0.045
OLE2	0.003	0.006	0.023	0.076

AWAR WAR AND AND A

(SAPRC99)

Outline

- Context & Objective
- VBS approach in CHIMERE
- CTM configuration

Simulation results: (from 20090701-20090722)

- 1. Comparisons with ground based AMS measurements Organic Aerosol (OA)
 - LHVP (Urban)
 - SIRTA (Suburban)

2. Regional/local plume of Oxygenated OA (OOA)

Conclusion