## FAIRMODE

The combined use of models and monitoring for applications related to the European air quality Directive: SG1-WG2 FAIRMODE

> Bruce Denby Wolfgang Spangl



13<sup>th</sup> Harmonisation conference, Paris 1- 4 June 2010



### Content

- Terms of reference for FAIRMODE
- Aims of SG1-WG2
- Overview of methods
- Institute list
- Some examples
- Representativeness
- Work plan





# Terms of reference

- To provide a permanent European forum for AQ modellers and model users
- To produce guidance on the use of air quality models for the purposes of implementation of the AQ Directive and in preparation for its revision
- To study and set-up a system (protocols and tools) for quality assurance and continuous improvements of AQ models
- To make recommendations and promote further research in the field of AQ modelling





# Aims of SG1

- To promote 'good practice' for combining models and monitoring (Directive related)
- To provide a forum for modellers and users interested in applying these methodologies
- To develop and apply quality assurance practices when combining models and monitoring
- To provide guidance on station representativeness and station selection





### Some concepts

- 'Combination' used as a general term
- Data integration
  - Refers to any 'bringing together' of relevant and useful information for AQ modelling in one system (e.g. emissions/ meteorology/ satellite/ landuse/ population/ etc.)
- Data fusion
  - The combination of separate data sources to form a new and optimal dataset (e.g. models/monitoring/satellite/land use/etc.). Statistically optimal but does not necessarily preserve the physical characteristics
- Data assimilation
  - The active, during model integration, assimilation of observational data (e.g. monitoring/satellite). Physical laws are obeyed





### Some concepts

- Geometrical methods
  - Methods for interpolation or 'combination' that are based on geometrical arguments. E.g. Inverse distance weighting, bilinear interpolation, as an interpolation method. Simple combinations of data, some GIS based methods.
- Non spatio-temporal statistical methods
  - Covers methods such as regression and bias corrections that do not take into account the spatial or temporal correlation of the data.
- Spatio-temporal statistical methods
  - Covers a wide range of methods e.g. 2-4 D variational methods, kriging methods, optimal interpolation. Based on Bayesian concepts. Minimalisation of some specified error.





### **Expertise required for methods**







# Users and developers (DA)

| Person               | Institute/project              | Contact                                      | Model       | Method   | Application<br>(resolution)  |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------|--|--|
| Hendrik Elbern       | <b>RIU</b> /MACC/PASA<br>DOBLE | he@eurad.Uni-Koeln.DE                        | EURAD-IM    | 3-4D var   | European<br>forecasts<br>(45 – 1 km)                                   |
| Martijn Schaap       | TNO/MACC                       | <u>martijn.schaap@tno.nl</u>                 | LOTOS_EUROS | Ensemble Kalman<br>filter  | European<br>assessments and<br>forecasting<br>(25km)                   |
| L. Menut             | INERIS/MACC                    | <u>menut@lmd.polytechniqu</u><br><u>e.fr</u> | CHIMERE     | Optimal<br>interpolation ,<br>residual kriging<br>and EnKF (in<br>development) | European and<br>Urban scale<br>forecasts and<br>assessments (25<br>km) |
| Hilde Fagerli        | Met.no/MACC                    | hilde.fagerli@met.no                         | EMEP        | 3 – 4D var (in development)  | European scale<br>forecasts and<br>assessment<br>(25km)                |
| Valentin<br>Foltescu | SMHI/MACC                      | <u>Valentin.Foltescu@smhi.s</u><br><u>e</u>  | MATCH       | 2 – 4D var (in<br>development)   | European to<br>Urban scale (25<br>- ? km)                              |
| Sébastien<br>Massart | CERFACS/MACC                   | massart@cerfacs.fr                           | MOCAGE/PALM | 3 -4D var  | Global to<br>European  |
| Bruno Sportisse      | INRIA,CEREA                    | Bruno.Sportisse@inria.fr                     | Polyphemus  | 3 -4D var, OI,<br>EnKF   | European   |





# Users and developers (DF:1)

| Person  | Institute/project | Contact   | Model   | Method  | Application<br>(resolution)              |
|---|-------------------|---|---|---|--|
| John Stedman  | AEAT              | John.stedman@aeat.co.uk   | ADMS  | Statistical<br>interpolation,<br>residual kriging                                   | UK wide<br>assessment of air<br>quality  |
| Bruce Denby   | NILU/ETC-ACC      | <u>bde@nilu.no</u>  | EMEP, LOTOS-<br>EUROS   | Statistical<br>interpolation,<br>residual kriging                                   | European wide<br>assessments at<br>10 km |
| Jan Horálek   | CHMI/ETC          | horalek@chmi.cz   | EMEP  | Statistical<br>interpolation,<br>residual kriging                                   | European wide<br>assessments at<br>10 km |
| Dennis<br>Sarigiannis   | JRC Ispra         | Dimosthenis.SARIGIAN<br>NIS@ec.europa.eu  | CTDM+ (model not<br>important, platform<br>more relevant)<br>ICAROS NET | Data fusion<br>(unknown<br>methodology)   | Urban scale                              |
| Marta Garcia<br>Vivanco<br>Palomino<br>Marquez<br>Inmaculada<br>Fernando Martín | CIEMAT            | <u>m.garcia@ciemat.es</u><br><u>inma.palomino@ciemat.e</u><br><u>s</u><br><u>fernando.martin@ciemat.</u><br><u>es</u> | MELPUFF<br>CHIMERE  | Anisotropic<br>inverse distance<br>weighting<br>Regression and<br>residual kriging. | Assessment<br>Spain                      |





# Users and developers (DF:2)

| Person  | Institute/project            | Contact  | Model                      | Method  | Application<br>(resolution)   |
|---|------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Clemens<br>Mensink<br>Stijn Janssen                       | VITO                         | stijn.janssen@vito.be<br>Clemens.mensink@vito.b<br>e | RIO and BelEUROS           | Detrended kriging.<br>Land use<br>regression model<br>used for<br>downscaling CTM | Belgium (3km)                 |
| J.A. van<br>Jaarsveld                                     | RIVM                         | <u>hans.van.jaarsveld@rivm.</u><br><u>nl</u>         | OPS                        | Kriging with external drift   | Nederland (5km)               |
| Florian Pfäfflin<br>(Goetz Wiegand<br>Volker<br>Diegmann) | IVU Umwelt<br>GmbH           | fpf@ivu-umwelt.de                                    | FLADIS/ IMMISnet/<br>EURAD | Optimal<br>interpolation  | Ruhr, Germany<br>(5km)        |
| Arno Graff  | Umwelt Bundes<br>Amt, UBA II | arno.graff@uba.de                                    | REM-CALGRID                | Optimal interpolation   | Germany                       |
| Wolfgang<br>Spangl  | Umweltbundesamt              | Wolfgang.spangl@umwel<br>tbundesamt.at               |                            | Representativenes<br>s of monitoring<br>data                                      |                               |
| Sverre Solberg  | NILU/EMEP                    | sso@nilu.no  | EMEP                       | Representativenes<br>s of monitoring<br>data                                      | EMEP<br>monitoring<br>network |





Comparison of Residual kriging and Ensemble Kalman Filter for assessment of regional  $PM_{10}$  in Europe





Denby B., M. Schaap, A. Segers, P. Builtjes and J. Horálek (2008). Comparison of two data assimilation methods for assessing PM10 exceedances on the European scale. Atmos. Environ. 42, 7122-7134.



Comparison of Residual kriging and Ensemble Kalman Filter for assessment of regional  $PM_{10}$  in Europe





Denby B., M. Schaap, A. Segers, P. Builtjes and J. Horálek (2008). Comparison of two data assimilation methods for assessing PM10 exceedances on the European scale. Atmos. Environ. 42, 7122-7134.



#### MACC ensemble forecast system

| Model           | Assimilation method                           | Implementation   |  |  |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| CHIMERE         | Innovative kriging, Ensemble<br>Kalman filter | Not implemented in operational forecasts   |  |  |
| EMEP            | Intermittent 3d-var                           | In development   |  |  |
| EURAD           | Intermittent 3d-var                           | Implemented in forecast, using ground based observations and satellite derived NO <sub>2</sub> |  |  |
| LOTOS-<br>EUROS | Ensemble Kalman filter                        | Not implemented in operational forecasts   |  |  |
| MATCH           | Ensemble Kalman filter                        | In development   |  |  |
| MOCAGE          | 3d-FGAT and incremental 4d-<br>VAR            | Not implemented in operational forecasts   |  |  |
| SILAM           | Intermittent 4d-var                           | Not implemented in operational forecasts   |  |  |



http://www.gmes-atmosphere.eu/.





### Examples: Local and urban

- Few examples of data fusion/assimilation on the local and urban scale
  - Spatial representativeness of monitoring sites is very limited (10 1000 m)
  - Often the number of sites is limited (compared to their spatial representativeness)
  - Monitoring contains little information for initialising forecasts
- Application for assessment is possible
  - E.g. regression, optimal interpolation





### Representativeness

- Two types of representativeness:
  - spatial and temporal (physical)
  - similarity (categorisation)
- Knowledge of this is important for:
  - validation of models
  - data fusion/assimilation





### Representativeness

 For modelling applications the representativeness of monitoring data should be reflected in the uncertainty of that data

NB: Not just the measurement uncertainty

• This is reflected in the AQ Directive (Annex I)

"The fixed measurements that have to be selected for comparison with modelling results shall be representative of the scale covered by the model"

 Representativeness will be pollutant and indicator dependent





### Representativeness and the AQD

- For monitoring the AQ Directive states:
  - For industrial areas concentrations should be representative of a 250 x 250 m area
  - for traffic emissions the assessment should be representative for a 100 m street segment
  - Urban background concentrations should be representative of several square kilometres
  - For rural stations (ecosystem assessment) the area for which the calculated concentrations are valid is 1000 km<sup>2</sup> (30 x 30 km)
- These monitoring requirements also set limits on model resolution





### Defining spatial representativeness

- The degree of spatial variability within a specified area
  - $-\,$  e.g. within a 10 x 10 km region surrounding a station the variability is  $\pm\,30\%$
  - Useful for validation and for data assimilation
- The size of the area with a specified spatial variability
  - e.g. < 20% of spatial mean (EUROAIRNET) or < 10% of observed concentration range in Europe (Spangl, 2007)</li>
  - Useful for determining the spatial representativeness of a site





## **Observed spatial variability**

Coefficient of variation  $\sigma_c/c$  for annual indicators as a function of area (diameter) for all stations (Airbase)



## Future progress in SG1-WG2

- Complete a review/list of activities and institutes carrying out DA and DF
- Provide an accessible review of these methods
- Recommend methods for quality assurance (-> SG4 'Bench marking')
- Develop a consensual understanding of representativeness (→ SG4 'Bench marking')
- Further develop the network and funding





#### For information and contributions contact

Bruce Denby bde@nilu.no

# and register interest on the website http://fairmode.ew.eea.europa.eu/



