16th International Conference on Harmonisation within Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling for Regulatory Purposes 8-11 September 2014, Varna, Bulgaria

#### MODELLING POLLUTANT REACTIONS DEPENDING ON INSTANTANEOUS CONCENTRATIONS: A DIRECT ESTIMATION OF THE SEGREGATION COEFFICIENT



Andrea Amicarelli<sup>1,3</sup>, Giovanni Leuzzi<sup>2</sup>, Paolo Monti<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Ricerca sul Sistema Energetico RSE SpA (Research Institute property of the Italian Ministry of Economic Development) <sup>3</sup>Speaker <sup>2</sup>Sapienza University of Rome

photo: Lyon (France, 2009) - Amicarelli

#### 1. Introduction

- 1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations
- 2. Lagrangian micromixing modelling

#### 2. Numerical model (Leuzzi et al.)

- . Main features
- 2. Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence)
- 3. Micromixing scheme (molecular diffusion)
- 4. Conserved scalar theory (CST, scalar reactions) (new)
- 5. Proposed variants for CST: NHRDL (and RDL-plume) and NFRDL (new)

#### 3. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (point source + backg)

- 1. Test case and numerical settings
- 2. Mean concentrations and mean plume
- 3. Standard deviations of concentration
- 4. Intensities of fluctuations
- 5. Segregation coefficients and reaction rates
- 6. Concentration skewnesses
- 7. Concentration kurtosis
- 8. Sensitivity to the micromixing constant
- 9. Convergence
- 10. Simplified modelling of the product species
- 4. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (line sources)
- 5. Conclusions

This study refers to Amicarelli et al. CAF (manuscript in revision), but Sec.4: Stochastic Lagrangian micromixing modelling of reactive scalars in turbulent flows: concentration fluctuations and improvements in the conserved scalar theory for non-homogeneous conditions

#### . Introduction

- I. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations
- 2. Lagrangian micromixing modelling

- 1. Main features
- 2. Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence)
- 3. Micromixing scheme (molecular diffusion)
- 4. Conserved scalar theory (CST, scalar reactions) (new)
- 5. Proposed variants for CST: NHRDL (and RDL-plume) and NFRDL (new)
- 3. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (point source + backg)
  - 1. Test case and numerical settings
  - 2. Mean concentrations and mean plume
  - 3. Standard deviations of concentration
  - 4. Intensities of fluctuations
  - 5. Segregation coefficients and reaction rates
  - 6. Concentration skewnesses
  - 7. Concentration kurtosis
  - 8. Sensitivity to the micromixing constant
  - 9. Convergence
  - 10. Simplified modelling of the product species
- 4. 2D validation (2nd order kinetics) in grid turbulence (line sources)
- 5. Conclusions

1.1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations  
Concentration turbulent fluctuations -> reactive scalar mean concentrations  
**Reynolds' decomposition** for concentration (turbulent regime):  

$$c = c + c'$$
 E.1  
**Reactive term** (balance equation for  $C_{mean}$  of a reactant -A  
or B-; 2-species 2nd order kinetics):  $T_m = -r^*R_r$  (r: chemical  
reaction rate,  $R_r$ : reaction rate of Brown-Bilger 1996 JFM)  
 $\overline{T} = -rC_A C_B = -r(\overline{C_A} + C_A')(\overline{C_B} + C_B') = -r(\overline{C_A C_B} + \overline{C_A' C_B'})$  E.2  
**Segregation coefficient** (potential range: -1 to unlimited,  $\rho$ :

Segregation coefficient (potential range: -1 to unlimited, ρ: correlation coefficient; i<sub>C</sub>: intensity of fluctuations):

$$I_{s} \equiv \frac{\overline{C_{A}C_{B}}}{\overline{C_{A}C_{B}}} = \frac{\overline{\overline{C_{A}C_{B}}}}{\overline{\overline{C_{A}C_{B}}}} - 1 = \rho_{AB}i_{C,A}i_{C,B}$$
E.3

Main motivation of the study: modelling reactive pollutants with neither relevant systematic errors (due to no concentration fluctuations) nor ad-hoc (test case dependent) tuning.

### **1.1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations** <u>Reactions -> Concentration fluctuations (e.g. concentration variance)</u>

Reactions alter the concentration mean gradients of the reactant and then the production term ( $P_{\sigma C}$ ) in the balance equation of  $\sigma_{CA}^2$  ( $K_{T,i}$ : turbulent dispersion coefficient):

$$P_{\sigma_{C}} = -2\overline{u_{i}'C'}\frac{\partial\overline{C}}{\partial x_{i}} \approx 2K_{T,i}\left(\frac{\partial\overline{C}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2}$$
 E.4

Reactions activate a reactive term in balance equation of  $\sigma_{CA}^2$ :

$$\overline{R_2} = -2r \left( \overline{C_A} \overline{C_A} \overline{C_B} + \sigma_{C_A}^2 \overline{C_B} + \overline{(C_A)^2 C_B} \right)$$
E.5

State-of-the-art (scalar reactions via instantaneous concentrations)
 Lagrangian micromixing models: Sawford 2006 BLM, Cassiani 2013 BLM
 Pdf modelling (Garmory et al. 2006), heavy computational costs
 DNS: «very small» domains, «very heavy» computational costs
 Other models use tuned parameterizations for I<sub>s</sub>

#### . Introduction

- 1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations
- 2. Lagrangian micromixing modelling

- 1. Main features
- 2. Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence)
- 3. Micromixing scheme (molecular diffusion)
- 4. Conserved scalar theory (CST, scalar reactions) (new)
- 5. Proposed variants for CST: NHRDL (and RDL-plume) and NFRDL (new)
- 3. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (point source + backg)
  - 1. Test case and numerical settings
  - 2. Mean concentrations and mean plume
  - 3. Standard deviations of concentration
  - 4. Intensities of fluctuations
  - 5. Segregation coefficients and reaction rates
  - 6. Concentration skewnesses
  - 7. Concentration kurtosis
  - 8. Sensitivity to the micromixing constant
  - 9. Convergence
  - 10. Simplified modelling of the product species
- 4. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (line sources)
- 5. Conclusions

# **1.2. Lagrangian micromixing models: main features Lagrangian turbulence + molecular diffusion + reactions**

#### > Advantages:

- ✓ Unique system of equations for all the concentration moments+pdf
- ✓ Reactions depending on the instantaneous-like concentrations
- $\checkmark$  Direct estimation of the velocity auto-correlation function
- ✓ Mixing time depending on instantaneous-like parameters
- ✓ Low computational costs
- ✓ Neither convergence algorithm, nor computational mesh
- Shortcomings:
  - ✓ Eulerian velocity statistics to be provided: (coupling with RANS model for the main flow or diagnostic fields from measures)
  - $\checkmark$  Few studies available at the moment
- Potential application fields (–air/water quality-):
  - ✓ <u>Micro-scale dispersion</u> in urban or aquatic environments (traffic sources, production or storage sites, ..)
  - ✓ Accidents: acute emissions, explosions, inflammables, terrorism
  - ✓ Impact from <u>odours</u> (e.g. energy from waste, production sites)
  - ✓ **<u>Pollutant transformations</u>** (reactive scalars)
  - ✓ Industrial processes: <u>combustion</u>, smoke treatment, desulfurization
  - Energy from sewage (biological treatments)

#### 1. Introduction

- 1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations
- 2. Lagrangian micromixing modelling

- 1. Main features
- 2. Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence)
- 3. Micromixing scheme (molecular diffusion)
- 4. Conserved scalar theory (CST, scalar reactions) (new)
- 5. Proposed variants for CST: NHRDL (and RDL-plume) and NFRDL (new)
- 3. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (point source + backg)
  - 1. Test case and numerical settings
  - 2. Mean concentrations and mean plume
  - 3. Standard deviations of concentration
  - 4. Intensities of fluctuations
  - 5. Segregation coefficients and reaction rates
  - 6. Concentration skewnesses
  - 7. Concentration kurtosis
  - 8. Sensitivity to the micromixing constant
  - 9. Convergence
  - 10. Simplified modelling of the product species
- 4. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (line sources)
- 5. Conclusions

## **2.1. Numerical model: main features**

## >Model features (stationary model):

- ✓ **Stage 1** (S1, **passive scalar means**) + **stage 2** (S2, **all other C statistics**)
- Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence): estimation of trajectories of fictitious fluid particles representing a turbulent flow (Harris chain) (S1 S2)
- ✓ Micromixing scheme: simulation of molecular diffusion processes (S2)
- ✓ Scalar <u>reactions according to the conserved scalar theory</u> (CST): alternative approach to a reactive IECM scheme (S2)
- ✓ Concentration Statistics on particle ("instantaneous") concentrations (S1 for C<sub>m</sub>, S2)

Model references of previous studies (also <u>3D</u> + obstacles): Leuzzi et al. 2012 AtmosEnviron, Amicarelli et al. 2011b IJEP, Amicarelli et al. 2012 IJEP

#### 1. Introduction

- 1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations
- 2. Lagrangian micromixing modelling

- 1. Main features
- 2. Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence)
- 3. Micromixing scheme (molecular diffusion)
- 4. Conserved scalar theory (CST, scalar reactions) (new)
- 5. Proposed variants for CST: NHRDL (and RDL-plume) and NFRDL (new)
- 3. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (point source + backg)
  - 1. Test case and numerical settings
  - 2. Mean concentrations and mean plume
  - 3. Standard deviations of concentration
  - 4. Intensities of fluctuations
  - 5. Segregation coefficients and reaction rates
  - 6. Concentration skewnesses
  - 7. Concentration kurtosis
  - 8. Sensitivity to the micromixing constant
  - 9. Convergence
  - 10. Simplified modelling of the product species
- 4. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (line sources)
- 5. Conclusions

2.2. Macromixing scheme: Lagrangian turbulence

Lagrangian Stochastic Equation (Markov process for velocity U):

$$\underline{X}(t+dt) = \underline{X}(t,\underline{x}) + \underline{U}(t)dt, \quad \underline{X}(t=0) = \underline{X}_0$$
E.7

$$dU_i = a_i dt + b_{ij} d\xi_i$$

E.8

Lagrangian structure function to determine b (Kolmogorov theory); dξ<sub>i</sub> is a Gaussian Wiener process with mean zero and variance dt (C<sub>0</sub>: Kolmogorov constant;  $\delta_{ii}$ : Kronecker's δ):

$$U_i(t+dt) - U_i(t) \left[ U_j(t+dt) - U_j(t) \right] = \delta_{ij} C_0 \mathcal{E} dt, \quad dt \to 0 \quad \Rightarrow \qquad \text{E.9}$$

$$\Rightarrow b_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \sqrt{C_0 \varepsilon}$$
 E.10

"Well mixed condition" for independent Gaussian velocity probability density functions (pdfs) – Thomson 1987:

$$dU_{i} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\delta_{ij}C_{0}\varepsilon}{2}V_{jk}^{-1}U_{k}^{'} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial V_{il}}{\partial x_{l}} + u_{l}\frac{\partial \overline{u_{i}}}{\partial x_{l}} + \frac{\partial \overline{u_{i}}}{\partial t} + \\ +\left[\frac{1}{2}V_{lj}^{-1}U_{j}^{'}\left(\frac{\partial V_{il}}{\partial t} + U_{m}\frac{\partial V_{il}}{\partial x_{m}}\right)\right] \end{cases} dt + \sqrt{C_{0}\varepsilon}d\xi_{i} = E.11$$

#### 1. Introduction

- 1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations
- 2. Lagrangian micromixing modelling

- 1. Main features
- 2. Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence)
- 3. Micromixing scheme (molecular diffusion)
- 4. Conserved scalar theory (CST, scalar reactions) (new)
- 5. Proposed variants for CST: NHRDL (and RDL-plume) and NFRDL (new)
- 3. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (point source + backg)
  - 1. Test case and numerical settings
  - 2. Mean concentrations and mean plume
  - 3. Standard deviations of concentration
  - 4. Intensities of fluctuations
  - 5. Segregation coefficients and reaction rates
  - 6. Concentration skewnesses
  - 7. Concentration kurtosis
  - 8. Sensitivity to the micromixing constant
  - 9. Convergence
  - 10. Simplified modelling of the product species
- 4. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (line sources)
- 5. Conclusions

## **2.3.** Micromixing scheme: molecular diffusion

IECM (Interaction by the Exchange with the Conditional Mean) micromixing scheme (Pope 1998, Sawford 2004); t<sub>m</sub> is the mixing time scale, T the transformation term :

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -\frac{C - \left(C | \underline{U}\right)}{t_m}$$

E.12

Mixing time scale (Leuzzi et al. 2012 AtmosEnviron;  $\sigma_{z0}$  is the source length scale,  $t_f$  the fly time,  $C_{\phi}$  Richardson constant,  $\varepsilon$ : dissipation rate of turbulent kinetic energy q):

$$t_m = 0.8(3/2)^{-1/2} [(3/2)^{1/2} \frac{\sigma_{z0}^{2/3}}{\varepsilon^{1/3}} + \sqrt{2T_L t_f}] \le \frac{2q}{C_{\varphi}\varepsilon}$$
E.13

#### 1. Introduction

- 1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations
- 2. Lagrangian micromixing modelling

- 1. Main features
- 2. Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence)
- 3. Micromixing scheme (molecular diffusion)
- Conserved scalar theory (CST, scalar reactions) (new)
- 5. Proposed variants for CST: NHRDL (and RDL-plume) and NFRDL (new)
- 3. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (point source + backg)
  - 1. Test case and numerical settings
  - 2. Mean concentrations and mean plume
  - 3. Standard deviations of concentration
  - 4. Intensities of fluctuations
  - 5. Segregation coefficients and reaction rates
  - 6. Concentration skewnesses
  - 7. Concentration kurtosis
  - 8. Sensitivity to the micromixing constant
  - 9. Convergence
  - 10. Simplified modelling of the product species
- 4. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (line sources)
- 5. Conclusions

## **2.4.** Conserved scalar theory (reactions)

(a simplified reaction scheme in stationary regimes: 2-stage model; e.g. 2nd order kinetics with 2 species -A and B-; Sawford 2006 BLM)

C<sub>A.1</sub> and C<sub>B.2</sub>: concentrations of unmixed species); Bilger et al.91:

- Modelling  $F_m$  as a passive scalar and use of its instantaneous values to determine  $(C_A, C_B, C_C)$ , according to alternative formulations («reaction limits»)
- Reaction-Dominated limit (RDL; «State-of-the-art solution»; instantaneous mixing at the inlet section with on-going passive FL concentrations as initial values; contact/reaction time = fly time):

$$C_{A} = C_{A,1}F_{m} \underbrace{(F_{m,s} - F_{m})}_{F_{m,s}(1 - F_{m})e^{[(F_{m,s} - F_{m})r(C_{A,1} + C_{B,2})t_{f}]} - F_{m}(1 - F_{m,s})} C_{C} = F_{m}C_{A1} - C_{A}$$

$$E.15$$

$$C_{B} = C_{A} + (C_{A,1} + C_{B,2})(F_{m,s} - F_{m}) = C_{B,2}\left[\frac{(F_{m,s} - F_{m})}{F_{m,s}} + \frac{(1 - F_{m,s})C_{A}}{F_{m,s}C_{A,1}}\right]$$

#### 1. Introduction

- 1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations
- 2. Lagrangian micromixing modelling

#### 2. Numerical model (Leuzzi et al.)

- 1. Main features
- 2. Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence)
- 3. Micromixing scheme (molecular diffusion)
- 4. Conserved scalar theory (CST, scalar reactions) (new)
- 5. Proposed variants for CST: NHRDL (and RDL-plume) and NFRDL (new)

#### 3. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (point source + backg)

- 1. Test case and numerical settings
- 2. Mean concentrations and mean plume
- 3. Standard deviations of concentration
- 4. Intensities of fluctuations
- 5. Segregation coefficients and reaction rates
- 6. Concentration skewnesses
- 7. Concentration kurtosis
- 8. Sensitivity to the micromixing constant
- 9. Convergence
- 10. Simplified modelling of the product species
- 4. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (line sources)
- 5. Conclusions

## 2.5. Proposed variants for CST: Non-Homogeneous Reaction-Dominated Limit

- Motivation: RDL (state-of-the-art scheme) systematically overestimates reactions under non-homogeneous conditions
- Non-Homogeneous Reaction-Dominated Limit (NHRDL); «New numerical solution»

Under non-homogeneous conditions, RDL reaction/contact time is computed as modified contact time, depending on the product of the reactant inst. concentrations along the particle trajectory :

$$t_{NHRDL,I}(t_f^*) = \frac{\int_0^{t_f^*} C_{A,FL}(t_f) C_{B,FL}(t_f) dt_f}{\int_0^{t_f^*} C_{A,FL}(t_f^*) C_{B,FL}(t_f^*) dt_f} t_f^* = \frac{\int_0^{t_f^*} C_{A,FL}(t_f) C_{B,FL}(t_f) dt_f}{C_{A,FL}(t_f^*) C_{B,FL}(t_f^*)}$$
E.16

>NHRDL: corrected contact time (discrete formulation):

$$t_{NHRDL}(t_{f}^{*}) = \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{n_{step}(t_{f}^{*})-1} C_{A,FL}(t_{f}^{*}-l\cdot dt) \cdot C_{B,FL}(t_{f}^{*}-l\cdot dt) \cdot dt(t_{f}^{*}-l\cdot dt)}{C_{A,FL}(t_{f}^{*}) \cdot C_{B,FL}(t_{f}^{*})}$$
E.17

**No fluctuation RDL** (**NFRDL**): RDL limit based on the mean values of  $F_m$  and mean  $t_f(=X/U$  in this case)

#### 1. Introduction

- 1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations
- 2. Lagrangian micromixing modelling

#### 2. Numerical model (Leuzzi et al.)

- 1. Main features
- 2. Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence)
- 3. Micromixing scheme (molecular diffusion)
- 4. Conserved scalar theory (CST, scalar reactions) (new)
- 5. Proposed variants for CST: NHRDL (and RDL-plume) and NFRDL (new)

#### 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (point source + backg)

#### . Test case and numerical settings

- 2. Mean concentrations and mean plume
- 3. Standard deviations of concentration
- 4. Intensities of fluctuations
- 5. Segregation coefficients and reaction rates
- 6. Concentration skewnesses
- 7. Concentration kurtosis
- 8. Sensitivity to the micromixing constant
- 9. Convergence
- 10. Simplified modelling of the product species
- 4. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (line sources)
- 5. Conclusions

## **3.1. Test case (Brown-Bilger 96-98a) - numerical settings** We keep working in 3D even if the case is 2D

- Domain size: Lx\*Ly\*Lz=17M\*7M\*7M (unbounded)
- $\succ$  U<sub>ref</sub> = 0.5m/s, dx=M/10, dt=0.001s
- $\succ$  M(grid spacing)=0.320m, x<sub>g</sub>=-3M (grid position)
- $\rightarrow$  x<sub>s</sub>=(0;Ly/2;Lz/2) (source position)
- $\succ$  C(NO,source)=515ppm(D=31.5mm),C(O3,back.)=1.0ppm
- Kolmogorov constant ( $C_0$ )=2 (model first choice, close to 2.7: average chosen value for grid turbulence)
- Micromixing constant values: 0.45 (chosen according to the state-ofthe-art procedure), 0.40 (Cassiani et al. 2013, BLM), 0.65 (most used value)
- Sim. particles: 7e6+7e6 (fast); 7e6+7e7(ref.); 2e7+2e8(long)
- > 100 velocity classes for the conditional mean
- Only reaction: 2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics (2 reactants, 1 product; r=0.37ppm/s)  $NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2$ 
  - **Decaying grid turbulence** (main flow):

$$\sigma_{v}^{2} = \sigma_{w}^{2} = A * 0.041 * \overline{u}^{2} \left(\frac{x - x_{g}}{M}\right)^{-c}, \qquad c = \begin{cases} 1.7, & \frac{x}{M} \le 10, & A = 2.68\\ 1.27, & 10 \le \frac{x}{M} \le 17, & A = 1 \end{cases} \qquad \varepsilon = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial \sigma_{w}^{2}}{\partial t} = \frac{3}{2} \sigma_{w}^{2} \frac{\overline{cu}}{(x - x)^{2}}$$

#### 1. Introduction

- 1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations
- 2. Lagrangian micromixing modelling

#### 2. Numerical model (Leuzzi et al.)

- 1. Main features
- 2. Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence)
- 3. Micromixing scheme (molecular diffusion)
- 4. Conserved scalar theory (CST, scalar reactions) (new)
- 5. Proposed variants for CST: NHRDL (and RDL-plume) and NFRDL (new)

#### 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (point source + backg)

- 1. Test case and numerical settings
- 2. Mean concentrations and mean plume
- 3. Standard deviations of concentration
- 4. Intensities of fluctuations
- 5. Segregation coefficients and reaction rates
- 6. Concentration skewnesses
- 7. Concentration kurtosis
- 8. Sensitivity to the micromixing constant
- 9. Convergence
- 10. Simplified modelling of the product species
- 4. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (line sources)
- 5. Conclusions



# **3.2.** Mean concentrations (validation and inter-comparisons, centreline)



 $F_{m,m}(x,y=0,z=0)$ 





 $\overline{|C_{m}(NO)|}(x,y=0,z=0)$ 



 $C_{m}(O_{3}) (x,y=0,z=0)$ 

 $C_{m}(NO_{2}) (x,y=0,z=0)$ 

# **3.2.** Mean concentrations (Plume spread and profiles)





Plume spread  $\sigma_{Z}(x)$ 

 $C_{m}(NO) (x=7M,y=0,z)$ 

# **3.2. Mean concentrations** (validation and inter-comparisons, profiles)



 $C_{m}(NO_{2})$  (x=7M-17M,y=0,z)

#### 1. Introduction

- 1. Scalar reactions and concentration fluctuations
- 2. Lagrangian micromixing modelling

#### 2. Numerical model (Leuzzi et al.)

- 1. Main features
- 2. Macromixing scheme (Lagrangian turbulence)
- 3. Micromixing scheme (molecular diffusion)
- 4. Conserved scalar theory (CST, scalar reactions) (new)
- 5. Proposed variants for CST: NHRDL (and RDL-plume) and NFRDL (new)
- 3. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (point source + backg)
  - 1. Test case and numerical settings
  - 2. Mean concentrations and mean plume
  - 3. Standard deviations of concentration
  - 4. Intensities of fluctuations
  - 5. Segregation coefficients and reaction rates
  - 6. Concentration skewnesses
  - 7. Concentration kurtosis
  - 8. Sensitivity to the micromixing constant
  - 9. Convergence
  - 10. Simplified modelling of the product species
- 4. 2D validation (2<sup>nd</sup> order kinetics) in grid turbulence (line sources)
- 5. Conclusions

<u>Results available via email</u> (manuscript under revision) No time here to discuss them

## 4. Conclusions

- 1. Implementation of a state-of-the-art CST schemes (Reaction-Dominated Limit RDL) into a 3D Lagrangian micromixing model for reactions
- 2. Definition and implementation of a modified formulation under Non-Homogeneous conditions (NH-RDL limit) for CST
- 3.2D validation (best available) on grid turbulence with 2nd order kinetics (2 reactants, 1 product):  $C_m$ ,  $\sigma_C$ ,  $S_{k,C}$ ,  $K_{u,C}$ ,  $I_s$ ,  $i_C$ ,  $R_r$  for  $F_m$ , NO,  $O_3$ , NO<sub>2</sub>.
- 4. Inter-comparisons with Cassiani 2013 BLM
- 5. Fluctuations cannot be neglected in modelling C<sub>m</sub> (reactive pollutants) Only passive scalar C means don't need concentration fluctuations (Pope98) For several years HARMO hosted a dedicated topic on C fluctuations.
- 6. Noticeable advantages using NH-RDL limit to correct the reaction overestimations of RDL under non-homogeneous concentration fields
- 7.Lagrangian micromixing models are much faster than DNS and pdf models (both for means and higher order statistics); these all represent a small minority among the air quality codes. Other models normally do not treat reactions depending on concentration fluctuations (ad-hoc tuning for I<sub>s</sub>). Thanks for your attention Any correction and suggestion is highly appreciated