

Figure 19. Comparison between AERMOD and ISC for a single 35m stack height and a range of test conditions. From peters et al(1999).







Figure 20. Comparisons between EPA models for four hilly terrain data sets. All hourly SO₂ data except Tracy (hourly SF₆). From Paine et al (1998).




Figure 21. Comparison between R91 and ADMS models with krypton-85 releases at the Sellafield site. 24 hour averaged data. From Hill et al (1999).




Figure 22. Comparison between ISC, OML and ADMS models against SO₂ measurements around the Bitola power plant. Scatter and quantile/quantile plots. From Kanevce et al (1999)




Figure 23. Comparison between ISC, OML and ADMS models against SO₂ measurements around the Bitola power plant. 24 hourly averaged data for four monitoring sites. From Kanevce et al (1999).




Figure 24. Comparison Between ADMS 3, AERMOD and ISCST3 Against Three Sets of Field Data. From McHugh et al (1999).